

DECLARATION OF NATHAN MATTHEWS

I, Nathan Matthews, declare as follows:

1. I am an Associate Attorney with the Sierra Club.
2. The following quotes are taken from the various proposals submitted to DOE/FE to export LNG to non-free trade agreement countries. All docket numbers refer to DOE/FE dockets.

Sabine Pass, DOE/FE Docket 11-111-LNG

Excerpts from the Sabine Pass Application filed Sept. 7, 2010

The ability to export domestic gas as LNG will greatly expand the market scope and access for domestic natural gas producers and thus serve to encourage domestic production at times when U.S. market prices might not otherwise do so. (pp. 50-51)

**

The Sabine Pass LNG Liquefaction Project will play an influential role in contributing to the growth of natural gas production in the U.S. (p. 56)

Freeport LNG, DOE/FE Docket 11-161-LNG

Excerpts from the second Freeport Application filed Dec. 19, 2011

Large volumes of domestic shale gas reserves and continued low production costs will enable the United States to export LNG while also meeting domestic demand for natural gas for years to come. ... The Liquefaction Project is positioned to provide the Gulf Coast region and the United States with significant economic benefits by increasing domestic natural gas production. (pp. 14-15).

Lake Charles Export, DOE/FE Docket 11-59-LNG

Excerpts from the Lake Charles Application filed May 6, 2011

The proposed export of LNG would allow natural gas that might otherwise be shut-in to be sold into the global LNG market, spurring the development of new natural gas resources that might not otherwise make their way to market. (p. 20)

Dominion Cove Point, DOE/FE Docket 11-128-LNG

Excerpts from the Dominion Cove Point Application filed Oct. 3, 2011

The most basic benefit of the proposed LNG exports will be to encourage and support increased domestic production of natural gas, and NGLs. The DCP liquefaction project would allow domestic natural gas that might otherwise be shut-in as a result of a lack of market demand to be available for sale into the global LNG market. The steady new demand associated with LNG exports can spur the development of new natural gas resources that might not otherwise be developed. (p. 35)

Jordan Cove Energy Project, DOE/FE Docket 12-32-LNG

Excerpts from Jordan Cove Application filed Mar. 23, 2012

For these reasons, Navigant concludes that “LNG exports, including those from the Jordan Cove LNG export project, [] should be seen as instrumental in providing the increased demand to spur exploration and development of gas shale assets in North America for the long-term benefit of the country and others.”(p. 19)

The Jordan Cove Project will open new markets for natural gas and meeting that new demand will benefit upstream industries... Across the four studied industries, the direct upstream output begins at \$268 million in the first year of operation, increases rapidly to \$1 billion in the second year, continues to grow through the study period (albeit fluctuating as the domestic versus Canadian supply mix shifts), and reaches \$3.3 billion in 2045, with the extraction industry accounting for 81 percent of the total. (pp. 23-24)

Cameron LNG, DOE/FE Docket 11-162-LNG

Excerpts from the Cameron Application filed Dec. 21, 2011

[F]ar greater economic benefits will result from the exploration and production of the 1.9 Bcfd [sic] of gas required for the project. (p. 23)

Gulf Cost LNG, DOE/FE Docket 12-05-LNG

Excerpts from the Gulf Coast Application filed Jan. 10, 2012

Gulf Coast is positioned to provide Texas, the Gulf Coast region, and the United States with significant economic benefits by increasing domestic natural gas production. (p. 10).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California on April 18, 2012.



Nathan Matthews