May 30, 2013

Beth L. Webb
Dickstein Shapiro, LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Re: Application of Jordan Cove Energy Project, L.P.
   for Long-Term Authorization to Export Liquefied
   Natural Gas to Non-Free Trade Agreement Nations
   FE Docket No. 12-32-LNG

Dear Ms. Webb:

The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) within the Department of Energy (DOE) is in receipt of a letter, submitted by you on May 21, 2013, on behalf of Jordan Cove Energy Project, L.P. The stated purpose of that letter was to notify the DOE/FE that Jordan Cove had completed the mandatory National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) pre-filing review process for Jordan Cove’s planned natural gas liquefaction and liquefied natural gas (LNG) export facility (the Project) before the Office of Energy Projects (OEP) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) in FERC Docket No. PF12-7-000 and further that Jordan Cove had filed with FERC its application for authorization to site, construct and operate the Project.

Additionally, you attached to the letter, copies of comments submitted by the Matanuska Electric Association, Inc., the Municipality of Anchorage, and a resolution adopted by Local Union 932 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers in support of Jordan Cove’s application before the FERC.

On review, the notification provided by the May 21 letter is unnecessary because DOE is a cooperating agency in FERC Docket No. PF12-7-000 and, therefore, is aware of developments in the FERC proceeding. This is a matter of public record, as reflected in the Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Planned Jordan Cove Liquefaction and Pacific Connector Pipeline Projects, Request for Comments on Environmental Issues, and Notice of Public Scoping Meetings, issued August 2, 2012, in the FERC proceeding. For the same reasons, it also is unnecessary for the applicant to introduce comments filed in the FERC proceeding in this proceeding. In addition, DOE intends to review the full record developed by
the FERC, including comments filed in support of the FERC application, at a later date as part of
this agency’s consideration of the environmental impacts of the application for an export
authorization. Accordingly, there is no need to review portions of the record developed in the
FERC proceeding in this phase of the DOE proceeding. Moreover, the submission of the
comments is beyond the time for filing established in this proceeding and the applicant has not
set forth good cause for allowing the comments to be introduced out of time.

In light of the foregoing, the applicant’s May 21, 2013, submission will not be made part of the
hearing record in this proceeding.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John A. Anderson
Manager, Natural Gas Regulatory Activities
Office of Oil and Gas Global Security and Supply
Office of Fossil Energy