

From: [REDACTED]
To: [LNGStudy](#)
Subject: 2012 LNG Export Study (sent before deadline but came back -- as shown below)
Date: Thursday, January 24, 2013 8:05:00 PM

Please accept this despite some technological glitch apparently not delivering it to your end of the e-mail address. Immediately below, I have copied and pasted "details.txt" which shows that I attempted to send the e-mail before the 1:30 PM Eastern time deadline. Thanks!, Bruce Campbell

(Then below that part which got returned since the e-mail did not go through, I will include what I sent earlier in the day before the deadline.)

[REDACTED]

Arrival-Date: Thu, 24 Jan 2013 16:28:27 -0500 (EST)

Final-Recipient: rfc822; LNGStudy@hq.doe.gov
Original-Recipient: rfc822;LNGStudy@hq.doe.gov
Action: failed
Status: 4.4.1
Diagnostic-Code: X-Outbound-Mail-Relay; connect to
hqwss.hr.doe.gov[205.254.128.11]:25: Connection timed out

January 24, 2013

Bruce Campbell
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

US DOE (FE-34)
Office of Natural Gas Reg.y Activ.s
Office of Fossil Energy
P.O. Box 44375
Washington, D.C. 20026-4375

To whom it may concern at DOE:

I am concerned not only about the impact on climate change by increasingly fracking and exporting natural gas, but am also concerned that the average American will be dealt a serious blow to their pocketbook if there are LNG exports. Why should the basic ratepayer pay higher costs so that a limited number of investors (plus energy companies) make a mint off of building a pipeline to export American energy abroad?

I agree with the points that Senator Wyden raises in his letter written in January 2013 on this issue.

Consider impacts on average ratepayers and natural gas consumers in the US -- and especially in states which would have this gas travel through their states to the export terminals.

Sincerely yours,

Bruce Campbell