Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Grossman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Fleming

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Winona Moeller

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Words fail to detail the impact of this technology on our environment. Focus on renewable solutions, for earth’s sake~!

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Hodul

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Loren Stevens

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Loren Stevens

-------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alice McTague

_______________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Barkell

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie McCarren

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Walch

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Czerwonka

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Duprey

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Corcoran

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Petricca

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Corrao

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jenny Burris

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Pilcher

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cha-Cha Connor
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jerry Kessinger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Riggleman

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Mueller

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Meghan Wilson

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Medina

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Mastronarde

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Petricca

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Foster

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Pushnig

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Sharon Byers

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chaney Bennett

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Fjalltoft

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joyce Grajczyk

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Francine Willison-Perry

Department of Energy

Dear Energy, The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stacie Groll

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Cravens

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Birgit Hermann

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Nealon

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shareen Goodroad

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Thank you for considering my request and for your support!

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George H. Campbell

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brendan Lee

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Morgan Koch

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Akriti Akhauri

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vicki Kruschwitz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Spaulding

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Heyneman

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Brown

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Herbert & Betty Cheeseman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandi Clark

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Moore

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shabana Singh

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bradley Buck

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Shaver

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helga Burkhardt

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Marie Mack-Schmidt

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Liz Boyles

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob Ramczyk

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

With a mother living in fracking country, I have heard this industry call those who didn't sign over their mineral rights, or encourage community members who were favorable to their business, un-American for not helping to make the US energy independent. Now they want to export gas to keep prices high and make their environmentally challenging processes more profitable. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Abushady

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

The risk of fracking is only marginally acceptable to meet US needs. Fracking just to line the pockets of already obscenely rich corporations in not a risk we need to accept.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Porter
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M T

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dawn Albanese

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Slaback

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christopher Stern

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Lindenmann

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Rivas

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Fisher

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Cravens

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Meyer

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country.

The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Ravenscraft

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Fixmer-Oraiz

-----------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Custer

-----------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise R. Ballard

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Maxwell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lyn Wandell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Tam

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edward Minton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Robitaille

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peg Leclair

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jackie Mcdonald

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angela Bellacosa

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Carr, M.D.

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kara Verdoorn

============================================================================================================

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Wolslegel

============================================================================================================

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Loren

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Zampini

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Natural gas has a multitude of industrial uses, including providing the base ingredients for plastic, fertilizer, anti-freeze, and fabrics. Natural gas is consumed primarily in the pulp and paper, metals, chemicals, petroleum refining, stone, clay and glass, plastic, and food processing industries. These businesses account for over 84 percent of all industrial natural gas use. We will need natural gas in the future to continue supplying the needs of industry and the general population. Yet it appears that the petroleum industry wants to pump it out of the ground as fast as it can and, in some cases, sell it to foreign countries who might even be hostile to the USA. All of this rush is for the purpose of fattening the pockets of the wealthiest people in our country. Have you ever heard of conservation of natural resources? The consulting firm hired by the DOE to monitor ‘fracking’ is an industry-friendly group that only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Wayne Stroessner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Miller

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Agoya Killeen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Holmquist
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Verne Presnell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lauren Oster

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Gellman-Rodriguez

------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Hanks

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amalia Shebby

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Gellman-Rodriguez

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Bostrom

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Himmelberger

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Taliano

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Banzer

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Michelle Dugan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Michael Watson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

B Macher

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Walker

---

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Gottemoller

---

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melinda Keith-Singleton

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Sullivan

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Sullivan

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nadene Lundmark

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Francine Wirtz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Murphy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Snyder

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The report completely dismisses the real costs to Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

Jim Trepka

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary McKinney

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Oliver

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mousie Hi
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Strain

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia B. Taylor

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jenna Teague

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it benefits the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

S Misiak

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Strain

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Henderson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

A report written by those who will profit from your approval should be looked at with great care. Fracking in itself causes contamination of our water supply. This problem by itself should be of great concern to those who are in a position to guard the health of our citizen and environment. The industry refuses to release the names of the chemicals it uses under the guise that if they release the information other’s will profit from it. Either they have a patent or not. If so, they are covered. It is outrageous that they have hidden this vital information from the public and before any permit is granted to any more fraking this needs to be resolved and the health issues clearly known.

The report submitted completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Wolf

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Myrna Uditsky

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Hargrave

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amrit Khalsa

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Taylor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Smith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clarence Hartley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Put the future of our planet ahead of dirty money.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Barnes

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joann Wells

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

D Murphy

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Ricci

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James And Ann Ellen Tuomey

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol McCoy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Leslie Prestwood

-------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Janet Piraino

-------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kristina Jacobs

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

B Kinniburgh

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Stahl

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Foy

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Caroline Darst

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Rosenblad

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Cook

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Chapin

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helga Stimpfl

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Dixon

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Benson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Strong

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Lukins

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alex Sponza

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine Alfaro

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Seer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Valdivia

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Fails

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Wakefield

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alice Wells

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Smith

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan Green

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Parish

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Lemosy

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bess Katerinsky

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Willow Hill

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathon Russell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

A conclusion 'demonstrating' an economic benefit is arrived at only through a focus on money that would flow out of our pockets and into the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in the U.S. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

It is the responsibility -- legally and morally -- of DOE to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lee Ann Kinzer

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Stange

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

The environmental impact statement must include the chemical damage and fracking to water supply and water tables, it must also include air quality, and pollution, and it is needed to apply tighter rules to the drilling and use of water and runoff. I have been unable to find any articles about fracking that has anything good for communities except the money. But one can not breath and drink money.

So far the Fracked method now used is creating problems wherever it is being used. It is destroying private property for growing crops, water systems for human and animal consumption and the air that breathe. I have no idea why we wish to destroy our land, water and air under our homes and across our nation.

I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

Karen Hoof

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Robertson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane O'Bannon

-------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Seyfried
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Magidson
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marian Wenink

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Meier

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Valarie Brown

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Moore

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dina Frigo

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Ramstrom

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Moran

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Luwana Wiechmann-Gosch

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cheri Albright

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Holger Tressin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Rogers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Spaulding

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Netherton

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

We are behind Europe in investing in environmentally forms of energy. We should be leading in making solar, wind and geothermal energy work.

Do not give us only one sided information about the research into Fracking. Please make sure you give us many different studies, from independent sources, done both within and without the United States, where many points of view are expressed. Then make sure you represent the interests of all Americans.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Weide
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Rossman

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

S Arroyo

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Trudy Carlson

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Schermer

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tracy Eve

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Vesper

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emma Fisher

------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Ginnetti

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peggy Moody

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Loretta Herger

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Wasgatt

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Drummey

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kyle Tepoel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Hansen

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carl Fitzsimmons

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

j fruniss

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

THIS IS OUR LEGACY. DO YOU WANT HISTORY TO LOOK BACK AND SEE THAT WE DIDN'T PAY ATTENTION OR DIDN'T CARE???

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Castle

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

wilma meyers

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rex Grubb

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Micheal Nesbitt

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amanda Mccoy

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

MORE BS FROM INDUSTRY TIES THAT EVERYTHING IS SAFE - BAN FRACKING NOW NOW NOW NOW BEFORE OUR WHOLE WATER SUPPLY IS POLLUTED. STOP PLAYING POLITICS WITH OUR LAND, WATER, AND AIR!!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Shabazian

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beula Epstein

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Howard Richardson

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Lunney

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Warrillow

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Juli Loupe

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Gross

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aaron Lancaster

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ferrell Stein

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Sanders

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Arlee Mcleod

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stan and Jerry Sattinger

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Miles

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Gathecha

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

joyce cheney

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patrick & Dana Thompson

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report ignores or dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dotty Caldwell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Randall

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roger Easterday

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Courtney Edwards

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Read

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Rogers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jordan Yeatts

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Peterson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynn Ellis

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Destro

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claudia Miranda
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jude Sky


Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

richard clark

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M Gillespie

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tauny Kasuya

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Grand

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bruce Loigman

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Day

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Liam Maloney

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Mckinsey

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
Oil and gas extraction facilitated by hydraulic fracturing is not inherently evil and can be safe when properly executed. The profits from that activity are private while the cost for any error are public. Until the industry accepts a policy of strict liability its activities require scrutiny and regulation.

The combination of externalized costs and existing subsidies for fossil fuel extraction mean that the product is partially owned by the public when it leaves the wellhead. Until the industry compensates the distributed environmental costs and refuses the subsidies, it is reasonable to require that the extracted products benefit domestic consumers before foreign ones.

Any policy that increases the direct and indirect costs to the American people but benefits foreign consumers to profit those who do not live among the rigs is at best premature and at worst inherently parasitic on the rest of the economy.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Cruder

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Garcia

-------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kaye Martino

-------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brad Putz

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Randi Nielsen

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Wanninger

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Salvaryn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Grand

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lucy Almeida

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna & Tom Dinse

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Erin Gregoire

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Karla Spicer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joanne Byars

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna & Tom Dinse

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kim Algarin

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Harriet Zinnes

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janusz Maka

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Biers

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Baldus

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Traver Cowles

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon McNamara

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Natalie Van Leekwijck

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Nutini

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allison Lee

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jolie Misek

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kim Peabody

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Hays

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt R

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Snyder

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities, such as projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, by a party that isn't joined at the hip with the industry it is reviewing, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Netherton

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roy Treadway

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Beth Stoos

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Claudia Dicosola

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kepley

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Helen Taras

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Paul Lauenstein

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This is very important to all Americans. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please do the right thing. We are watching you. Kay Baldwin

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Baldwin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
melodie martin

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

If you don't know what damage the fracking does, stop until you can do an adequate evaluation. Listen to some of the interviews with people in the fracking areas. It appears that the damage will still occur over extensive time periods once the cracking has occurred. It is not a temporary situation. Community damage is extensive and ground water quality is destroyed. How can you allow this **** of the environment to continue?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gylan & Joyce Dickey

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Allan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Madias

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Gutleber

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M Spilecki

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patrick Mackey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cherie Haggard

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Campbell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gail Yborra

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Don Flugga

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Harford

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mindy Maxwell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barry White

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Malone

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jacqualyn Sikes

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rick Cooley

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynne Seastone

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aaron Marr

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. Pay heed before it's too late!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Ivins

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please -- lets do the right thing for our people and the environment. We have the knowledge.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Rose

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Gathecha

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Rousseau

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Frey

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Loretta Slowikowski

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Lambrecht

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Merry Froelich

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Bloomfield

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Timothy Mitchell

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurrie Cozza

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Oldenburg

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Sebold

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Caswell

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leonard W Curry

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Jasud

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tony McCarson

__________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessica Castellon

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janice Atwell

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Choy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Provost

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Siglin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Einfalt

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Exporting US gas does not help our country's energy independence. Keep American resources domestically. How can we heat our homes affordably and move freight or people with natural gas if we trade our advantage away.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ricky Hurley

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Filippi

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cristina Mickiewicz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Alexander

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Provost

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vinayak Govande

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Tracy

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dianne Griesser

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Duane Francy

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be 
put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will 
impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Webb

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed 
report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the 
money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people 
in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working 
Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs 
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs 
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by 
polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG 
exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact 
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve 
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be 
put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will 
impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Wolslegel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Wyatt Wallace

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Campbell

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Bylund

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please cease this boondoggle and extortion. It is time for our water, land, towns, and environment to gain the protection the industries have had.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Gair

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Watching the roll-out of the natural gas industry as it works to finally turn a profit from harmful fracking in our communities gives me serious concerns for the state of democracy in the US. Last year, the American Petroleum Institute tried to influence Maryland state lawmakers with a study that used outdated reserve estimates of gas in the Marcellus shale, over-estimating the recoverable gas in Maryland by a factor of three. If policy makers use information from industry-commissioned, science-for-hire studies, they will make good policy for corporations, but not for US citizens in harms way from industrial gas development.

The industry-friendly NERA consulting group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the
wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by drilling and LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nadine Grabania

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I fail to appreciate just how exporting our natural resources for the enrichment of a few, at the cost of our environment in any way frees us from imported energy sources. Who are we kidding here? We are bearing all the costs and they are reaping the profits, at the price of our future! We should be developing clean, renewable sources of energy for American use. Financial incentives and assistance for home and business solar systems is an excellent direction. This shift needs to get underway NOW. Stop subsidizing the destruction of our country and our children's future.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Francesca Bolognini

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Carter

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Natasha Fraley

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven & Cynthia Niebuhr

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Terreault

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

mary sandoval

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bonita Underbakke

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Nixon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Fadze

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alice Mangum

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pam Shaouy

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angela Hendricks

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. Personally, it frightens me that this is being done without any concern for the near or late future. I don't have any trust in this system!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hewitt

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shirley Smith

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claire Tsatsos

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carroll and Cathy Kerr

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Berg

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Bovenkerk

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanne And Winston Seetoo

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Damian Hinman

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Megan Adams

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicole Niemi

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicole Niemi

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bamberger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julianna Dailey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vince & Sandi Vanacore

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Lipka

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vince & Sandi Vanacore

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leigh Fabbri

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Neagle

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roberta Holt

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stacy Lupori

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christi Dillon

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David T. Parchem

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Miguel Ortega

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betty J Van Wicklen

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Stuckey

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Fay Stewart

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Mick B

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Jodi Robin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wendy DeLuca

------------------

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Pollach

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. NO MORE FRACKING....

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Kulhanek

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elijah Vanwormer

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judi Flanders

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Lenahan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
WE MUST BE VERY CAREFUL AND IMPROVE THE TECHNOLOGY BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD WITH FRACKING!!!! CAUTION PLEASE!!!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Meutsch

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David T. Parchem

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lara Frater

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Macdonald

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. Since

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shyama Orum

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Downing

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Francisco Anta

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Stacy Lupori

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Bill Kowalsky

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bridget Palecek

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Prudy Odwyer

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lorenz Steininger

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicki Taylor

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandy Thorpe

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

B J Rahn

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. It is unfortunate that monied interests can exert such pressures on our elected officials, unelected officials and the marketplace. Reports with slanted and dangerous results can cause more damage to our environmental, financial and market positions both in our country and in the world and they must be stopped or at least educated against to promote a healthy, sound basis for the country to move forward.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Markus

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beverly Wolf

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Bettega

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maria Dielh

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paula Shafransky

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beverly Wolf

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Meg Thornberry

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Woodward

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Ferguson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edward Tedtmann

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Simons

------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Zacher

------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Munoz

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deirdre Downey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carrie Copson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cathleen Kelly

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vicki Carmack

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Nina

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joanne Routledge

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhesa Antone

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carlie Feldman

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Geoff Leavell

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

We all know that natural gas is not the optimal energy source. There are many other sources of energy available to us in this day and age that it's pitiful we're not acting on them soon. The fracking industry is not 'liked' by anyone (average citizen) who knows about it and it's effects on the environment and even us, people.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elmo King

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Gryk

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aimee Siluk

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Haynes

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matthew Schaut

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stacey Young

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhys Greene

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Colleen Loughran

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Warner

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I am concerned that LNG fracking operations could impose enormous costs, in terms of health and quality of life, to large sections of our country. This would be both unfair and unwise.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janice Jones

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leigh Anne Keener

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhys Greene

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph W., Jr. Singer

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. We need to think long term.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Fred Mittleman

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charleen Williamson

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Britton

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gayl Hunter

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

No man on this earth created the atmosphere; no mere man has any right to pollute it. No mere human created this awesomely beautiful earth; no mere human has any right to **** it - to strip it of God-given resources essential for healthy sustainability - merely for the short-term gain of insatiably greedy individuals, while plants, animals, and other life forms essential for sustaining human existence long-term - along with people all over the world - increasingly suffer horribly and needlessly. No man created fresh water, without which none of us can live; no mere man has any right to poison it. You have the responsibility to safeguard irreplaceable resources - air, water, and land - on which all future generations - including your own - necessarily depend; how will they look at you and what you did or didn't do to safeguard a livable planet fifty years or a century from now?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Martindale

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people...
in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Dewell

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Michael Feran

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kristina Olson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jo-Elle Somersett

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Beagle

--------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Justin Chambers
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nelson Scarborough

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ben Arnold

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leslie Burpo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kyle Iacovino

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gail Tarlow

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Debi Combs

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annie Gorden

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Walter

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Derek Gendvil

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephan Livingstone
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The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Don Luxem

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dinda Evans

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Ellison

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roberta Hansen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kirby Schimmels

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Hudson

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dianne Busse

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alberto Diaz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amber Sabo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Carollo-Zeuner

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Rubin

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Spenger

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yailet Reyes

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Loose

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Mckinley

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Alexander

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Giovanni Pachicano

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. Put a DNA marker in all Fracking jobs to see what it really is doing!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

I. Engle

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eagan Wilson

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Kipka

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Graham

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Johnie Kemp

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ponessa

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Schubert

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Rhea

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

I deserve to know what the real costs will be.

IT IS ABSOLUTE LUNACY TO ALLOW THOSE WITH CONFLICTS of INTEREST to ADVISE DOE. IT IS ALSO NUTS to ALLOW FRACKING UNTIL WE KNOW THE LONG-TERM CONSEQUENCES.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Francis Wanderlich
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Craig Slocum

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Carol Tucker
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Golden

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diambu Smith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Ferruggia

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leticia Andreas

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susanah Kuchenbrod

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bahri Aliriza

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country.

The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the REAL COSTS to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

People are more important than Profits!

I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect OUR interests before DOE authorizes any exports."

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Miller

---------------------------------------------------------

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Dawson

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Duane A. Teschler (a Veteran for Peace)

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Kelley

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martin Kelly

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matthew Mcdonough

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The Department of Energy needs to be concerned about the health of the American public and the environment in which they live and not the corporations involved profits. We need to be able to trust the regulatory agencies to do what is best in the public interest.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Velma Hall

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

thomas boghosian

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Pikala

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathy Priola

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Neva Blubaugh

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Avonne Mcclure

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kaleigh Fiddler

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amber Moore

__________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine Becker

__________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Eden

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Dukes

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wendy Raebeck

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities - increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. We get the pollution and destroyed farmland and new pipelines running through our towns while the corporations get the profits by exporting the gas. How is that helping the US become energy independent. Where is the transformation of knowledge and past experience. Wake up! We need leadership to protect our quality of life.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anthony Marotta

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities - increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Thompson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Barrows

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brandi Mccauley

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Arden Zipp

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

jason Little

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Tillery

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katie Petitt

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Timothy Rose

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jordan Peak

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bill Ostrander, Jr.

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Syd Olund

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine M. Simony

---------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

At a dinner party last night, I got a chilling experience when a guest said that fracking was totally safe and that California was perfect for this kind of procedure for gas AND oil. He worked for Chevron.

I'm not totally educated in this but know enough that our water quality would be compromised. Water is life. I am concerned for all of the United States, not just California.

We need better and REAL scientific evidence to back up the environmental impact on the land. We also need testimony from people who have already leased their lands to the natural gas companies and felt the unhealthy effects on their families, livestock, and land. We need politicians who would believe in the REAL facts and not twisted facts to help the 1%.

Money isn't everything. Our environment sustains us. Can we not sustain our environment?
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janis Jang

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frederick Hamilton

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

IT MAKES NO SENSE AT ALL THAT AMERICA WOULD SELL ANY KIND OF FOSSIL FUEL. IT WILL NOT BE THAT MANY YEARS WHEN WE WILL WANT THAT FUEL TO STAY ALIVE, KEEP WARM, MAKE ELECTRICITY, RUN OUR CARS AND MANY MANY OTHER USES. SO KEEP ALL GAS, OIL, AND COAL HERE. JOBS AND MONEY IS NOT A GOOD EXCHANGE FOR SOMETHING THAT CAN NOT BE REPLACED IN THE FUTURE.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Colyer

_____________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Why are you people so *****? This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Oriszko

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Arthur Bright

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Rose

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karris Grumm

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Eckhardt

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Baumline

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The world, our world, the world in which we all must live, does not need yet another fossil fuel addiction. We cannot drill our way out of climate change: we must think and engineer our way out of it.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Trowbridge

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dave Loiselle

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frances Howell-Coleman

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rashida Tessler

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Seewester

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH OLONIA

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Johnson

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Holland

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Glenn Letourneau

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Seewester

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Crawford

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gerritt & Elizabeth Baker-Smith

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Corinne Pezziati

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Glenda Carper

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marcella Laudani

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J Beverly

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Gorra

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Pendleton

_____________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sue Martin

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carla Behrens

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynda Osborne

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Nikas

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chanda Gray

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Morrison

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Minerva Hodis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yarrow Spitzfaden

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report. DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is in the best interest of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG exports are a good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs of environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is in the best interest of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Leo Klohr and Judy Occhetti-Klohr

Sincerely,

Leo Klohr and Judy Occhetti-Klohr

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs of environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is in the best interest of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Leo Klohr and Judy Occhetti-Klohr

Sincerely,

Leo Klohr and Judy Occhetti-Klohr

Department of Energy
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dolores Tippett

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eleanor McNair

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Bachman

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Siegenthaler

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Schlaud

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rich D'apollo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Rhea

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Terence Mcdowell

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Finn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janice Westberry

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynne Edele

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Skip Hannon

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Way back when I was in grade school we were taught that natural gas, oil and coal were non-renewable energy resources and that by the time we were adults we would be using new sources of safe energy. While we have indeed developed a number of alternative, usually less destructive and often renewable, energy sources, we seem ridiculously reluctant to begin to fully implement them.

It makes no sense to allow a few big, mostly American, corporations to dictate the direction and safety of the entire world's energy future. A handful of unscrupulous corporate CEOs, their board members and owners continue to reap vast profits, while the world at large, not only billions of human beings, but countless species of plants and animals, are saddled with all the negative repercussions that result from procuring and using these non-renewable energy sources. As if things weren't bad enough now we've added fracking to the equation, along with a research group that is clearly in a position to find results biased in favor of the natural gas industry. It is unacceptable.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sherry Supernovich

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Esler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dominic Marino

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leo Everett

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra McLuckie

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nick Ingram

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

T. M. Kraemer

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Graver

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Goyetche

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ola Cleon Jones

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Myrna Cohen

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Camp

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Rapoza

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Carroll

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

WM Rohrer

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Lebedeff

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Anixt

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betty Lutz

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Debra Poscharscky

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Karen Hatlestad

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
MaryAnn Barrett

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shawndra Hayes-Budgen

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Smith

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

A. S. Evans

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alexis Mcculloch-Viloldo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Chillemi

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities: increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Carter

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pete Schneider

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please do not export this gas as we do not have an unlimited supply of it. Save it to use in the US. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wayne Peters

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cudsko

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Conway

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Good science and a healthy planet must be components in a forward looking agenda for ALL the Earth's children.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Estes

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Johnson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Castle

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Victor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Kram

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barb Bittenbinder

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Leslie Mitchell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Vivienne And Herbert Spector

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to working Americans and their communities -- projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally: how much does it cost to fuel ships which take 3 weeks to cross the Pacific? Makes no sense.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Craig

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jamie Damaschke

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cristine Barsanti

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Loyd

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katalin Pesti

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bruce And Joan Smith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peggyann Wachtel

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniel Herrera

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Ann Madle

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brody Hagemeier

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Bachman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Art & Evette Andersen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Howard Snyder

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Belisle

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lew Warden

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
The DOE has the responsibility to conduct a newer and more complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it really is to the long-term benefit of the American people and in the public interest. A fuller more recent (less than 2 years old) environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted including 'all' associated impacts to fracking communities and the fresh water table. While this may take several years to complete, as a citizen, I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when increasing natural gas export overseas as well as the impacts to prices on the unregulated LNG energy markets.

Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes or approves any additional applications or future exports (Oil or Gas). If the related actions of the DOE cannot be mitigated to a level of insignificance, then your action should be to error on the side of safety and deny additional applications and limit ALL other applications to yearly approvals to better control the yet unknown effects.

The DOE is suppose to serve the needs of the American people, not exploit them and serve the public interest. Alaska uses commons to export it's natural resources thereby compensating Alaskan citizens. How can the DOE support the exploitation of America by big oil and gas without due compensation? A true cost and benefit analysis must be done before any decision is made which will effect every American citizen and rob us of our natural resources through increased LNG exports. DO NOT ALLOW THE EXPORTATION OF OUR NATURAL RESOURCES (Natural Gas/Oil) WITHOUT DUE COMPENSATION TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

These industry-friendly analysis reports mainly concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry, the wealthiest people and large corporations in the world. The report completely ignores problems or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- uncontrolled costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, 'unprojected' costs associated with environmental destruction due to increased fracking, increased health and health-care costs for those affected by polluted air and contaminated groundwater.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruben Mejia

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Jacobsen

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

As an environmental scientist and attorney I can unequivocally assert that there is nothing good about fracking. Just as nuclear power plants (NUKES) were a marvelous idea in theory and a disaster in practice, Fracking will result in far more environmental damage than can possibly justify any short term energy gains it might provide.Clearly we can no longer externalize pollution costs onto the environment. Irreversible damage to air, water (especially groundwater) & soils must be taken into account. I am certain that inevitable human errors in design, construction, operations and transport/storage of resultant toxic wastes from fracking activities will inevitably result in so much environmental damage that it will become glaringly apparent that fracking (like NUKES) is so inherently dangerous it should have been banned to prevent the devastation before it occurred. But by then it will be too late. Haven't we learned anything from Fukushima, the BP offshore drilling and countless other debacles? No short term fix justifies the huge longterm negative consequences. At the very least, legal Strict Liability should attach to all aspects (construction, operation & subsequent transport/disposal storage of toxic waste
byproducts) of all fracking activities. If it's really so safe and the best thing since pancakes & sliced bread, why should that (Strict Liability) be a problem for Fracking advocates?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Susan Augustine

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Dorsey

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brock Roberts

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Stevenson

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Natalie Mannering

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebekah Kreckman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Amsler

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Kerber

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

R. Giles

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full economic and environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. The American people deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending
natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our economic, health, and environmental interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Additionally, this needs to be done and orchestrated with a national energy policy that places the health, welfare, and economics of the United States and every one of its citizens ahead of the self interest of the oil and gas industry and foreign buyers of American energy sources.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Gardner

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquified natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Sadovnikoff

---------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Weber

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Philip Ratcliff

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Fedorov

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Irene Slater

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Irene Slater

_____________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities: increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary S. Reed

_____________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dale Kneff

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

If this country is so short of fuel, how can we afford to export it. Studies have shown that fracking causes irreparable damage to the environment, geology AND human beings.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Carrie Altintop

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Jeannette Myers

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christina Farnsworth

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

'We the People' need your intervention and protection on this issue, Mr. President. Please Help Stop the Fracking!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

L Osterman-Love

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Beeny

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annie Meo

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doug & Carolinn Hagan

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Whitmire

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Sonnenberg

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Tarbutton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob Andrews

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Coburn

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Kivo

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janice Lindquist

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stacey Ballard

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Mccormack

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Fuqua

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Borden

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jill Bittner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lane Robbins

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Just say NO!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Redding CPA

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow from most taxpayers to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities. These come in the form of: increased costs to heat our homes and businesses; the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing; and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and in healthcare for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to determine the true benefits, if any, to the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including what's happened in fracked communities. We
deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Tierney

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Mackenzie

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian & Barbara Comnes

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ian Ehrlich

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Debra Cunningham

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandy Steers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Polacsek

__________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

MY clean, safe water is NOT worth sacrificing to the profit margins of the greedy rich pukes that stand to make more billions from fouling it. They care about NOTHING but money. F them.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a COMPLETE environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts to ground water and other pollution in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Mcconnell

__________________________________________

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Evans

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Bratcher

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Powers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

What price can we put on clean, unpolluted water? Many communities are facing unacceptably high levels of methane in their water after fracking has begun. Not to mention the other chemicals used to frack, which are undisclosed until after the drillers are gone. Some of these chemicals are cancerous. The families in these communities cannot afford to move away and therefore are forced to bathe and drink unfiltered water from these polluted wells.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emily Foht

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Francine Ungaro

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Tracie Fisher

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Diaz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Stevens

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Wilsher

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I believe an independent study by knowledgeable scientist would be a better way to determine the true cost of hydro-fracking to all of us.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Remer

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katrin Winterer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Reback

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Thompson

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Palmer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kari Grabinski

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Unger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Erika Grizzanti

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eleonora Shigabieva

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Fishgold

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Whipple

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan & Candace Ewell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Henry

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Gayden

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Baxter

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Casey Pittman

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Sorozan

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhys Greene

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Anger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be
put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will
impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vera Strader

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed
report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the
money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people
in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working
Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by
polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG
exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be
put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will
impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Fusinaz

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas Bedell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Glidden

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Petrilla

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katrina Freire

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paola Venezia

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ori Seron

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dianne Chandler

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tim Hacker

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Gallagher

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ricki Newman

-----------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt Goldman

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Coon

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Bagenstose

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Agne

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deirdra Cuthbertson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heidi Wacker

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Torok

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I can tell you first hand, being a property owner, without mineral rights in northern Michigan, when they came for the natural gas, the company representative's title was Land Acquisition Manager. We the people have no say in the matter. It was made clear to us, you can fight it, but you won't win. The land now supports 2 natural gas wells, underground pipes and pump stations to transport the gas. The lanes have eroded, this once pristene parcel of land is now an 80 acre parcel subject to inspections, the privacy is gone. The results presented in this report are obviously biased. We were told the LNG was needed for local power needs, it's no surprise, if there is more money to be made exporting the product, it would be about the money, and nothing else matters.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jill Hodson

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sherman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

L. Boone

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kuhn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Berlin

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doris (Jody) Wilson

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dvora Jonas

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Merz Bott

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Marie

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Erwin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Oaks

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

PLEASE, There must be further INDEPENDENT research into this seemingly-horrifying impact on ours and our children's future world. We can no longer delve blindly into our precious Earth and wait for the consequences, wholly in the name of capitalism. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Corey Diana

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Hansen

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Tabin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean-Luc Ferre

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Greenbaum

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert&Eliza Duncan

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Wagner

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Mullen

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judi Flanders

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elise Marks

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Crettol

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Kogan

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Juliet Loebardt

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chester Starkweather

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Candace Christensen

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Genthner

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greta Rittenhouse

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Kerner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ken Woolard

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Carolan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joyce Bressler

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betty Kite

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas Devoid

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Gerken

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jan Jackson

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynnda Strong

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sheila Bowen

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kim Lamb

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Curtis

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aminah Roberts

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vida Bossinas

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Van Note

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James May

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Rawlings

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Burwell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Isabelle Boisgard

---------------------------------
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Benton Elliott

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rashida Basrai

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This report focuses on the benefits to the natural gas industry and ignores the potential for negative impacts on our communities and the environment.

The DOE is a public agency that must answer to the public, not to wealthy corporations. For this reason, as a tax-paying citizen and voter, I insist that you conduct a full environmental impact statement for LNG exports, covering costs to communities and other industries, as well as the environmental consequences of ramped up hydrofracking.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Schaffzin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Mumma

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurel Becker

-----------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Ino

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. I urge no forever! on allowing fracking over environmental issues unaddressed by the corporate interests. but i must put my foot down on exporting any energy- particularly dirty energy- anywhere. thank you for your time, jpo in seattle

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey J.A. Paul

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Durango Colorado 15 miles east. My home WAS FRACKED by Amoco My home was a ‘RED TAG’ 96% explosive levels of Methane Gas inside the home. MY snow burned, the river bubbled. I LOST MY $400K home across from the river on 20acres after 5 years of rebuilding it from a shell

FRACKING CAN KILL AND DESTROY ruin water, air quality, flora and fauna and personal property loss and mental aggravation and depression. permanently ruin peoples lives for A PROFIT
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Field

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Golden

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Johnson

-------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
The study should also account not only for best practices, but also for actual real-world activities. Since these often include round-the-clock operations by tired front-line workers who may not have adequate training, spills and other mishaps are not uncommon. Additionally, any sensible report should include ALL energy inputs, such as diesel fuel for trucks, etc and should include net effects from all associated pollutant streams, specifically including diesel PM [soot] emissions, and all VOCs from associated traffic and operations.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sam Bell

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Ecker

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Martin

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Helm

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edith Frank

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Deisha Garcia

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Woodwell-Freedman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julice Winter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Abraham Kayne

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Callaway

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Milholland

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Anderson

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary McGann

---------------------------------
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Lumsden

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Kob

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

My family owns an environmental consulting firm and I know how this story goes. They have contracts with PG & E and other big power companies. Please support this movement any way you can. We need to be focusing our energy on renewable clean energy sources!!! I have a young child and want her to enjoy what's left of our beautiful planet before its too late.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kimo Garcia

----------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please pay attention to the recent study that concludes the natural gas from fracking will run out within the next 5 - 7 years. This is a very short timeframe, and we need all the gas ourselves. None should be exported, and we should not waste money developing LNG terminals.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Booz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emile G. Ilchuk

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Michel
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Haas

______________________________________________

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Auer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

We in Pennsylvania are all too aware of the dangers to our health and the health of our environment from inadequately regulated fracking. Please work for stronger implementation of much needed regulations, for the protection of our well-being.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob and Carmen Riggs
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Sears

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Keane

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Warren Welch

------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Warwick

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Grant Silvertein

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I'm not convinced fracking is without threats to the safety of our citizens or water sources..

Why have these companies been allowed to operate in secrecy & have no accountability for the chemicals used in fracking?

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Richmond

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people
in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurie Saggan

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Finley

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diana Bastron
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Richardson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Banman

---------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Richards

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob Shields

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan Griffith

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vy Nguyen

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Gott

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

We need to address the real issue of gas pollution. It is time to ban the consumption of cabbage and beans. Humans need to be fitted with devices to catch any escaping gas. This can be liquified and used to heat home in areas that are experiencing extremely cold conditions due to global cooling. Fracking is the answer to our energy needs. Without fracking there will be more use of coal and fuel oil to heat our homes. Fracking has been the only bright spot in our economy and has been the primary reason the US is not in a depression. The Energy department needs to focus on the science and not irrational fears of crazy people.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Duck

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Atkins

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Henry Weinberg
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Dyson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marija Minic

______________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marija Minic

______________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Fregin

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maryann Ford

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Walton

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Fiekowsky

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aileen Grant

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frances Popstojanovic

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I want to know what the REAL costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Thompson

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chester Starkweather

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Hank Ciofrone

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Pinkerton

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Birgit Hansen

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Russell Greene

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Celeste Garcia

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Hugh Keleher

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Powell

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Smith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Jonas

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. All citizens deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barrie Stebbings

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Criswell

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Schimaneck

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Colleen Roots

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wanda Moore

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Adams

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Mandel

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Duran

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tim Upham

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Downey

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Budnitsky

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

K Hagerty

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Hufnagel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

What are You planning to leave Your Children and Grand-Children? A Country destroyed by Fracking? How many more Clean-up sites must American Tax-Payers must pay for if they even can be cleaned-up? If Tax dollars must be wasted then waste them on furthering Solar and Wind technologies that don't completely destroy our communities.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Byerly

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennie Sabato

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Hall

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Rennolds

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Dowling

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Madigan

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Waber

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Gustafson

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Howard Hassman

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Huber

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bill Baker

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Jacobson

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Martin

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Miller

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kristine Parkins

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Felecia Richard

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Do not dismiss or ignore serious dangers to the safety of our water and air!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in frapped communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

- Protect our increasingly fragile environment -

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marc Moses

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jodi Craig

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mischa Kandinsky

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Toups

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cindy Jaske

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jon Barlow Hudson

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Justin Maddox

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Proffitt

-----------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nathan Crockett

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Kirby

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Prior

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Acker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cameron Mcallister

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Keane

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Simonian

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Howard Weinblatt

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

bruce waterman

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Miguel Ramos

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lola Janes

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Talia Segal

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cathy Lathrop

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Tchir

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Hazel Rozema

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sheri Earnhart
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Armstrong

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gernot Heinrichsdorff

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alexander Milone

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Castle

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe McCormick

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Scarabin

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Ellenberger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lesley Culhane

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Billie Ambrose

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. This must be conducted by an unbiased firm with no ties to the natural gas industry. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Merrick
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joanne Rose Pennington

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Raychel Martinez

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Winsor

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wayne Flick

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Roger Hannah

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Lor Cubbison

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matthew Franck

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Fanning

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Widmann

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Barclay

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aimee Tubbs

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diana Glenn

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Previdi

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roger Hannah

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I live in South Texas and I can already see the superficial severe damage done to our landscape and communities by fracking. The future health costs and damage to our water supply must be considered.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Jorgensen

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tyler Sandstrom

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tracy Foster

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Mack

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Perez

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Dirosa

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Houda

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elinor Dowling

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Miller

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Yanke

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bill O'Brien

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Marie Silva

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Canright

____________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anna Goodhand-Allmand

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amanda Salvner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It is time to slow down. Let's assess the long term overall impacts before we rush create an out of control situation.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Witt

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Penni Livingston

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Fred Fall

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Burdick

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt Fredricey

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports inc

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Starr

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Cori Avery

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Bronwen Evans
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Eddy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Giguere

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heidi Murphy

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Saucier

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It is hard to believe that this is being considered at all. Haven't humans done enough damage to this earth!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Lowrie

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rich Bergner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Polsky

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Seriously, hydraulic-fracking must be comprehensively scientifically evaluated for Americas' healthy futures depend on American's healthy ecosystems, clean air clean water, and healthy landscapes for our children, America's future. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Bindseil

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Candela

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Mac Aulay

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Rollman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The report unfairly dismisses the increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, as well as projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian And Barbara Ditzler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

Nancy White

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please listen to the reports of first-hand experience from fracking. When the reports come only from persons who have financial ties to the industry, we cannot expect an unbiased view.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Fernsler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Geltman

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles And Rita Rossmann

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Chapman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Whittemore

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sam Galioto

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anton Feokhari

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Mcclory

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Glenn Bristol

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Larson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Fisher

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Waker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

April Stanley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Audrey Tillinghast

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aline Rosenzweig

--

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Neathery

--

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
I agree with this plea! If we are trying to become energy independent why are we talking about exporting our resources? Also, why are we purposely polluting and degrading the environment we depend on for our health and survival?

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Sandon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

What are the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. What are the real costs to us when sending natural gas overseas.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

James Patrick

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Shralow

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mulvihill-Decker

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

The costs of environmental and health harms must be paid for by the industry. I refuse to accept any more corporate welfare, where the harm is paid for by taxpayers without limit.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,
Raymond Bilodeau

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christie Lagadinos

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

This is the scientific thing to do!
This is the right thing to do!
This is the responsible thing to do!
This is the safe thing to do!
I am a registered democrat!
I am 33-years old!
I am an American with a disability!
I am a human being on the autism spectrum!
I am a technology survivor!
I have undergraduate/graduate degrees in the environment!
I have a personal stake in this issue!
Thanks very much!
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Daniel Salomon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

O. Ruiz

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Ripplinger

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Toni Wolfson

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Lassar

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Sotis

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

As a Soil Scientist who has spent twenty five years working to cleanup contaminated soil and groundwater, I find it appalling that our nation continues to pollute precious potential drinking water sources for our fellow citizens! For years, I have explained to the public that the high costs of clean up were due to our historic lack of understanding of how chemical use impacted our communities. We now know better! So we should act better!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lana Brodziak

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Callaway

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kertson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sam Mckinney

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas D'Alessio

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marc Mendelson

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gene Trautmann

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Manuel Prieto

------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Khris Kieffer

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

LNG is not clean energy. We need a true revolution--solar, wind, conservation. No more fossil fuels, here OR abroad!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allison Ostrer

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

People's health first, not Big Oil profits!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Correro

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dorame

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Brennan

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Yasenchak-Votta

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Vosburg

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rogers Turrentine

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Papaleo

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Paar

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Regina Stone

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Octavia Spriggs

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lauren Swaim

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Wells

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dale Patterson

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The LNG export community counter to they're wishes does not exist in a vacuum. The desire for profit has consistently poisoned the water supply in communities close to previous fracking operations. To allow them license to increase the scope of such activities cannot be justified in terms of a benefit/cost analysis for the common good. When the scope of context is enlarged beyond the NERA Economic
Consulting study to include the human community and the environment we share with all life then in my opinion the proposal should be encouraged to drown in it's self-serving slop. The Department of Energy must follow your mandate and work in the interests of the all the people of the United States, not the narrow self-interested sponsors of the the NERA study.

Widen the scope consistent with your mandate. The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Nappe

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Isaac Yoder-Schrock

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Amann

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs...
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Ellis Lapin

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Mary Jo Cameron

-----------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Wheeler

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Kelkenberg

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim & Carolyn Coxon

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Holmes

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Constance Bouchoux

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Tarr

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ami Levy

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Smith

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

At a time when we should be striving to get and remain energy independent this report favors using our resources, not for our citizens, but as an instrument of profit for multi-national corporations. It would reduce us, at least in the area of energy, to third world status exporting our natural wealth rather than using it as a foundation for developing industries and jobs here.

This does not even begin to account for the long term impact of fracking which is still under debate.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter McMahon

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Arana

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Duncan

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

PLEASE...who do you think you're fooling with these self-serving reports!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

LEAH STRAYER

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Caldwell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ashley Faulkner

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniella Culbert

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Khris Kieffer

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Fracking must stop NOW...it poisons our drinking water. We cannot survive without water! We need to convert to clean, renewable energy altogether...wind and solar power. This will also create new jobs, clean up the environment, and make a better future for all.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Gomolka

---------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marcia Heeter

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wendy Mclaughlin

=================================

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mulvihill-Decker

=================================

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vivian Kasen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Rich

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Parana

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Fast

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Forward

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Erikka Jordan

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Manning

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jon Beck

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Walker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

We are quickly approaching a point in which we will have emitted enough carbon to raise global temperatures to the point that all land ice masses will melt 100% during summers. If we don't curb our dependence on fossil fuels immediately billions of people will suffer globally. It is your duty as a citizen of this planet to protect this planet. It is your duty as a member of the DOE to find long lasting sustainable energy sources. Fossil fuels will only further harm our future economy and our health. I implore you to make a decision that will not compromise our future, even if it means a financial sacrifice now.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maurice Goulding

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas Cooke

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

We can make a change now and influence the ecological soundness of the policies early. We don't have to continue making the same selfish, capitalistic choices with natural gas as we have the petroleum industry. Stop fracking with current small-minded and water-destroying procedures! Create new methods that give us access (and allow possible export options to be considered again) or just stop altogether. Shortsighted business people should not get decision making power in this situation. We stand at a precipice--you can be responsible for throwing the health and beauty of U.S. resources away forever or you can construct safe policies that protect our country's natural resources and allow our country to access those resources intelligently.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessica Fanning

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

With all the new evidence about local water pollution and destabilization to the land, increasing health problems to local citizens it is unconscionable to allow multinational corporations to profit while destroying the health and land of our citizens.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lois Robinson

-----------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James & Carla Canter

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angel Kelly

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joyce Harrington

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Giovanny Diaz

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Barker

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gayle Sprague

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Denton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Goodlin

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Miller

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Miller

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Cotton

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

NEPA requires a complete programmatic study for situations like fracking where there are significant cumulative impacts. This was required years ago for Nuclear Power. There are significant environmental questions including health and environmental impacts to be accounted for in fracking.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ivan White

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alvaro Luque

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Walsh

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Geist

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephen Ekholm

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please stop killing our planet, environment and us. We cannot just continue to keep taking and not giving back.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karim Talbot

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cecalie Diab

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melinda Lamontagne

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marion Tidwell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ralph Judkins

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Geoff Alexander

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniella Culbert

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

Fracking is taking place right here in Oregon a few miles from where we live in a beautiful wild area on a back road that we take to get to the quaint seaside town of Charleston and three well-used state parks.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paulianne Balch-Rancourt

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bernina Perkins

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Colclasure

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Long

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Dann

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Snapp

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Lynch

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shirley Hale

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Keith Wynne

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Eskew

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hart

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Whetstine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Devlin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Johanna Frittelli

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Obeji

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Sanderson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Glenn

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Markus-Walczak

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Fracking is injurious to the Planet. Fracked gas is dirty coming out of the ground, dirty being shipped/transported, and dirty being used. T. Boone Pickens notwithstanding, this fuel is bad for our planet and costing untold millions in damage to us and our world. NO to all of these pseudo-experts, who are so obviously being well compensated for their 'views' on natural gas.!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Polly Tarpley
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gail Falk

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Hammersley

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The idea of selling off a national energy source which we will need in the future to sustain our own country for the immediate profit of a few is the height of insanity. It is time we behaved more intelligently and thought and planned ahead. There is no escaping our future need for energy sources under our own nation's control. There will be more wars and crises when external energy supplies to us will be restricted. Please, Please, Please! THINK AHEAD!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Shiffler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Shiffler
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roger Kuckenbrod

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. Why in the world are we SENDING fuel overseas when America is in a fuel crisis?!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alanaya Stematz bBreitling
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Penny Earle
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brett Thomsen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Taylor

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

elizabeth sifitar

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

the focus needs to be put onto public financed research into these exports and there subsystems. Current ways on doing these evaluations is skewed, and is not accurate.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Lamberti

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt Wright

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dave Kruse

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Kostis

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Spencer Phillips

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Burkhart

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Skopek

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aaron Ferguson

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicole Lutz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clare Hooson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Buhlman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Thurairatnam

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Schwartz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Debrah Roemisch

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. We in Garrettsville Ohio have 8 injection wells and several fracking wells. The effects of this are popping up by these wells and causing drinking water to contain a methane level so high, YOU CAN SET THE WATER ON FIRE while it comes out of the faucet. This was reported on the local news!! How can injecting radioactive waste into the ground, regardless of how deep, not effect anyone or anything??? We are fined for pouring motor oil or paint into the ground????

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon c

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Victor Krag

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Vissichelli

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Olsen

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dana Mains

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
charles Williams

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Vissichelli

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Bradford

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Mcdade

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

* Make the pipelines leak-proof * Use refineries in the northern parts of the US * Use the finished product in the US * Do nothing until at least all of the above is accomplished

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eileen Bill

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Atkins

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J Bower

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kary Pierce

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alicia Merritt

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

THIS IS ALL ABOUT SAVING THIS PLANET. We must convert to renewable energy. COAL COMPANIES HAVE BEEN GETTING FEDERAL SUBSIDIES, WHILE ALL THE WHILE, MAKING BILLIONS IN PROFITS OVER WHAT THEY PAY THEIR STOCKHOLDERS. THE DESTRUCTION THEY ARE CAUSEING CAN BE MEASURED BY THE DEATHS OF MINERS AND THEIR COMMUNITIES BY SYSTEMATICALLY DESTROYING THE WATER SYSTEM IN OUR COUNTRY. SHAME ON THEM, SHAME ON THIS GOV’T FOR NOT MOVING FORWARD ON RENEWABLE ENERGY, WHEN THE COAL CO EXECUTIVES, DIE OF THEIR CONTACT WITH THEIR INDUSTRIES, IT WILL BE TOO LATE TO CHANGE THEIR WAYS, REST IN PEACE.

MARY BURGESS.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

Mary Burgess

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Florence Morris

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vicki Reeves

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bradley Davis
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Farrell
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathy Baro'

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shelley Lincoln

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Moore

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Yantz

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Tyree II

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

edward wolner

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Brown

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edwardo Romero

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Johnson

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Natalie Cohen

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Loretta Zoldak

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Ziegler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Matthias

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Soper-Oakes

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

It's time for the DOE to fund a fair study that truly investigates the impact of fracking on community, their health, water and noise pollution. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

It's time we understand the real impacts of fracking. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Councell

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Mueller

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tammy Douglas

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Davis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
THIS IS ALL ABOUT SAVING THIS PLANET. We must convert to renewable energy. COAL COMPANIES HAVE BEEN GETTING FEDERAL SUBSIDIES, WHILE ALL THE WHILE, MAKING BILLIONS IN PROFITS OVER WHAT THEY PAY THEIR STOCKHOLDERS. THE DESTRUCTION THEY ARE CAUSEING CAN BE MEASURED BY THE DEATHS OF MINERS AND THEIR COMMUNITIES BY SYSTEMATICALLY DESTROYING THE WATER SYSTEM IN OUR COUNTRY. SHAME ON THEM, SHAME ON THIS GOV'T FOR NOT MOVING FORWARD ON RENEWABLE ENERGY, WHEN THE COAL CO EXECUTIVES, DIE OF THEIR CONTACT WITH THEIR INDUSTRIES. IT WILL BE TOO LATE TO CHANGE THEIR WAYS, REST IN PEACE.

MARY BURGESS.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Burgess

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Borecki
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas White

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Mullen

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Behrend

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sally Simpson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janice Metzger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amber Tallon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Herron

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Mazias

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report ignores or dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and ESPECIALLY the costs associated with environmental destruction and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE must conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We all deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gigi Taylor

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Darlene Wolf

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Coleman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Tomlin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Crawford

---------------------------------------------

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Camille Fasanella

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sally Tucker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betty Young

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Pfeiler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rosalie Hope

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Hadfield

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Sanitate

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathi Phillips

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Bonanno

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pattie Meade

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Perry Olds

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Leap

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Edvardsen

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Wood

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas Donehoo

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharyn Belleville

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maryann Andreasson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Simpson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leah Turgeon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rick Duley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jerome Alicki

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sallie Cave

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Wolfe

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Crystal Banks

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Murphy

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Holly Yokoyama

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

When you are only thinking of PROFIT then you don't look deep enough to guarantee safety for our families. Of the general public knows there is danger because of what they have experienced how can you be so egotistical and put out false statistics or test results and expect us to believe them?

Come on people tell the TRUTH! Make them find a way to make it SAFE!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandy Grentz

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leslie Burby

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ian and Janeane Moody

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Narcissa Enzmann

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Walker-Dale

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Johnnie Prosperie

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Hermanson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doris Thurber

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanine Wilder

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Donahue

------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Jackson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Champagne

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doug Wilson

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

West Coast Director Surfers' Environmental Alliance (SEA) www..seasurfer.org

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Littlefield, West Coast Director, SEA

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Harvey Liss

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Duckworth

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annie King

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Boris Livshiz

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maggie Larrick

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Cavazos

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Valerie Bourdot

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ingrid Rochester

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Mall

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Radha Korman

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

SUBSIDIZE PERSONAL HOME SOLAR NOW

STOP SUBSIDIZING BIG OIL AND GAS NOW

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andy Cook

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tracey Mangus

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gregory Dorais

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Lorenzo

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dave Zinn

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura St Clair

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Bettilyon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annette Kaohelaualii

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Sugarman

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Daskivich

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Roome

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please save our earth for our children and the future.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Lilly

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jetta Hurst

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Raymond Welch

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Lou Hoff

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joel Finley

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessica Ramirez

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Howard Lapolt

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Bullock

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kimberley Thomas

___________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Darvan Schild

___________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

LET'S BELIEVE THE SCIENTISTS RATHER THAN THE LOBBY FOR FRACKING.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Veroune Chittim

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Sheibley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John and Mary Lou Paschal

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Bergan

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Delton M & Hedy Doederlein

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Small

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Embry

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Shaw

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Madaraz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Biernot

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Desilvey

=================================================================================================================================================================

Dear Energy

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Abraham Oboruemuh

=================================================================================================================================================================

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike & Barbara Sentovich

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Bleidt

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jaime Munera Hurtado

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Scott

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Hamer

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Goldberg

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Stellar

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Explaining the scientific information available that is consistent with facts rather than political or economic speculation will help us all to comprehend what is real versus what is fancied. SIU in Illinois recently published articles about 'fracking' concluding that not enough is known about the full process, its true ramifications as well as actual benefits. Apparently this story, like most, is far more complicated than projected. We are owed the truth. Please do that.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Dasilva

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Lieberman

-------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebekah Driessen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aldo Pedroso

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joy Kay

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lois Jordan

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact assessment...
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I demand to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas.

Rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. We are not citizens of a third-world country whose resources are freely plundered to benefit the interests of plutocrats while our health and our children’s future is put at risk. We expect and demand that you protect all of us, and the environment which sustains our lives.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Reader

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I strongly agree with the following: This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Matteson

-------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Walker-Dale

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Connie Curtis

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laramee Lyda-Craft

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan P. Vessicchio

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Almalee Henderson

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob Woodw

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Really....isn't it time you started thinking about the impact of fracking on individuals and communities! Once an area is fracked and contamination occurs it is too late for the people living there to ever regain the same standard of living!

Just for once, please protect someone other than the gas industry, coal industry or big oil companies!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Johnson

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Byron Dale

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Dickerson

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brendan Fisher

-----------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Meridith Beck

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Additionally I continue to be wary of the 'safe' practices of fracking to our communities and the potential for devastating harm to water supplies and the impacts of accidents. I strongly believe if we are talking about 'energy independence' here at home then we should be keeping our resources here for our use.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Reilly

--------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Louchard

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Whitley

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Johnice Reid

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Time to consider the future we are leaving our children. We must protect their health now and consider a clean healthy Earth -- water, soil, air -- for all our citizens, not just the wealthy and those connected to power.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Nagler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessica Collette

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

NERA is an industry-friendly group. Its conclusion that LNG export is good economics focused only on money that would flow out of consumers' pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country.

The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including impacts in fracked communities.

American citizens deserve to know the real costs to us when sending natural gas overseas.

Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Kirby
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Let me see if I understand this: I live near the proposed LNG import - no, make that export - terminals in the countryside already pockmarked with gas wells, rusting tanks, pipe lines and abandoned water wells and drive on crumbling roads taken over by oil field trucks 24/7. After several years of drought, I am supposed to think pumping more water from our shrinking aquifers to frack gas wells is a good idea? So that we can EXPORT this nonrenewable natural resource and make the cost of energy in the U.S. more expensive? It seems to me that we are rushing to become just like one of those third world countries exploited by Big Oil in the past! Where were we going to import LNG from a few years ago? Oh yeah, Nigeria. What are we thinking!?!?

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Stonecipher
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Madelyn Crumpton

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Truett Bobo

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Byers

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Abigail King

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Killeen, Esq.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dallara

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Turner

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Cranford

------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

susan goodman

------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ben Strasinger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt Burawski

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leo Ashton

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Len Olszewski

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Clegg

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Valdez

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeannie Mcdermott

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Markgraf

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Sheehy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Cotts

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Scriptunas

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sherwood Inderlied Jr

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Degraw

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Arthur Aravena

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Hopkins

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Wallace

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Anthony Langbehn

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joel Trupin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Regina Cappelletti

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gail Luck

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Damage done to the environment by the hydrofracking process is irreversible. Why are we considering trading water for gas; adding carcinogens and radioactivity to air, water, and food? Have we lost our minds? Does the power of gas corporations really trump all common sense? There is nothing positive about extracting gas using this method. Please listen to our scientist/doctors who are vehemently opposed to going forward with this, as THEY RECOGNIZE the dire consequences!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lois Hetherley

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Anderson

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Moller

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Hannigan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven B.

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Goldberg

---

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Sobel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tina Bailey

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Esposito

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

Two separate scientific studies have recently reported fugitive emissions of 4% and up to 9% for the overall fracking process. This means that high pressure fracked natural gas no better than coal as regards emissions of greenhouse gases! Let's get real.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Walker

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rich Martini

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Todd Provost

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Liz Tymkiw

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nanciann Kruse

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alexis Pagoulatos

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frances Kelly

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jared Cornelia

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

No fracking until safer! GLOBAL WARMING SHOULD BE TOP PRIORITY!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alice Chew

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sherri Scott

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Renae Thompson

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Browning

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

IT IS TIME to ignore the false promises and EXAMINE the true costs of this technology BEFORE ANOTHER STEP IS TAKEN TO ENDORSE IT!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bruce Linton

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob Arnet

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Fredrick Seil

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

In some areas of our lives we need more regulations and protections, not fewer! This is one of them!

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Flocker

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Gehrich

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Moore

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Johnson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

A recommendation from an industry group does not place the environment in its rightful place and instead conclude that LNG export is good economics. Everyone knows that! By focusing on all the money that would go to the natural gas industry and those who invest in the industry, you are ignoring the environment and your sacred duty. The report unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Thank you for putting America's environment legacy front and center on this federal land. Money is spent, lost and disappears but we must leave an intact environment for generations to come.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy & Joe Likover

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kayann Short

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Graski

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Hayes

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barb Stemke

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Russell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquified natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kadolph

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elsa Dewitt

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lorene France

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nora Sharp

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Wixson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Valerian Alexander

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dale Houghtaling

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

We the people own the resource. We do not want to pollute our communities further so the extracting companies can make profits abroad. Keep the gas here (remember energy independence?). And gradually we may be able to learn methods that do not destroy our water and air.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John & Ann Vernon

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maryjo Malo

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carl Pribanic

----------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy C Hernandez

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Michler

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Gallagher

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Selita Stevenson

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Patricia Rasmussen
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Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Amy Knoth

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Brobst

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allen Johnson
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Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Moody

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Corrigan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marge Griffith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Dillon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Antonella Antonini

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Balster

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gerald Lackey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Gamrath

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Suzy Cline

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Syd Potter

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Tuthill

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Trembly

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anthony Parisi

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brendan Fisher

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Goding

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Selection of NERA raises concerns over conflict of interest.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Meade Goolrick

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Smith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Clark

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kagan

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Layman

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Evelyn McBride

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Abbas
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Christenson

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Liz Carter

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Schultz

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Shaw

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Georgiana Baxter

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Houston Wong

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lester Bell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Nelson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mattis Deutch

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynne Teplin

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roger Kennedy

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Manda

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Henry Marcy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mavonna Ellis

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Clarke

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Adler

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Amberson

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kara Graul

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Klamerus

-----------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Ganeles

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Stange

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Schmid

--------------------------------- 

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Moore

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I want a full report done on the dangers to our communities and the planet before we go ahead with these massive exports. Its not good enough to have reports done by the companies that stand to make huge profits from the exporting of natural gas while our environment and communities are ravaged.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annette Hagerty

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christopher Rowley

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Montes De Oca

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Justin Shull

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alexander Levine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Reeves

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heidi Heimerl

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helena Lafferty

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rachelle Molyneux

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Korrine Winkler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven B.

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country.

The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public.
A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Tompkins

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robyn Acuff

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brad Walker

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Liebeskind

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carmen Dello Buono

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please offer an explanation regarding the benefits of sending our precious resource overseas. Why do we need to continue tracking in order to provide an export? Can't we put a limit on the production and ban exportation?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Whitfield

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Corinne Coon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Russo

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bryce Smith


Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Da Silva Jain

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Wall

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chessa Rae Johnson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Jay Lawrence

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Judy Bensinger

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquified natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan & David Gerke

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquified natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amanda Boyers

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurin Desso

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Morford

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anita Emery

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Klein

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rochelle West

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yusuf Adome

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry. The report ignores or dismisses the real costs to our people and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts imposed fracked communities. We need to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Reeves

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Frazier

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Raphael

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Morrison

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Olivia Compton

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. This must be done in a professional, unbiased manner!
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Colletto

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pax Whitfield

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Virginia Spencer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. All citizens deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maggie Kilduff
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

F. Robert Wesley

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary White

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Donnelly

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Cosgriff

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldsmith

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Hunt

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Schroeder

---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Phillips

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Wasmund

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.  

―Kay McBrayer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Harriet Miller

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lowell

-----------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sybil Castro

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Unger

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Holden

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Unger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia D'Ambrosio

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Liwanag

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Connolly

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
E Unger

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
E Unger

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Unger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Kestler

Department of Energy
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bruce T Byrd

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leland B Jones

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karl Mann

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Unger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

This administration's focus has been about supporting low and middle income Americans. This study and actions stemming from its results don't support the average American—it supports the richest among us. I don't believe that this is what our focus as a country is and I hope the department of energy will make a choice not to bow to big corporations and conduct a study that supports regular Americans.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brandi Reynolds

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carleton Vickers
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Gardner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Rich

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Keith Erickson

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Incagnoli

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Bliss

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael House

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stefania Johns

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Robinson

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leslie Cline

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Renton Bond

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Coyne

____________________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cat Brownlee

____________________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Pelton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Foster

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carl Schaefer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Chase

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Zinn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lore Leidinger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sally Dabrowski

-------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rodger Browder

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Why in the world would we be selling Americans short with dirty air, contaminated water, slashed real estate prices just so a few can profit? Where is the justice in that?!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Bentley-Iverson

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kc Schweickert

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellen G. Schoen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Breece

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It seems to me that the energy companies, who expect to reap enormous profits from the sale of natural gas, ought to be required to find a method of extraction that does not so greatly damage the environment we all live in. The gas itself is a great improvement over oil and coal but, like the rest of us, they should be required to protect the rights and the health of the rest of us.

Thank you.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Hayward

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Mccraney

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Nickerson
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mitchel Buergel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Lincoln

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marie Gildehaus

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniel Cushing

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Paul Delvalle

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Marion Albu

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Willow

________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Malcolm Norton

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marian Finnegan

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Woolsey

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Moulin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dean Vlasman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beverly Sterner

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elsie Cushing

Department of Energy
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Johnson

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alberto Gutierrez
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Lattanzia

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Government is to protect the people who cannot protect themselves. The rich can take care of themselves—very well. Just look at history and what is taking place in Congress. It is sad to see the United States sinking to new lows, when the possibilities of being a world leader are so easily accomplished.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Jerrells

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities--increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allegra Barlow
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rodney Boyd

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eve Eskew
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lance Dotson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Ramo

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katie Bald

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I realize that you get a lot of 'form' letters, but my feelings on this subject of 'fracking' are very strong. I am patently tired of people interested in lining their own pockets with money being in positions of evaluating my children's future. I also feel sorry for their children, all the money in the world will not save them from the results of their father's greed.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Murdock

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

D Pinckard

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

WE MUST LOOK AT THE REAL COSTS--THE COLLATERAL DAMAGE--WHEN WE ALLOW COMPANIES TO EXTRACT NATURAL RESOURCES FROM THE EARTH. WE NEED A TIMEOUT ON EXPORTING FRACKED GAS.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lani Frank
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul M. Boggs

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Bacon
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Prete

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Crystal Redington

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly-Ann Charland

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Gustafson

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Hollyce Jeffriess

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Dupree

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Vedvik

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marion Ehrlich

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Renate Kasak

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Smock

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Karin Peck

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Renee Lishock

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Erik Lichtenberger

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniel Marzani

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please don't let corporate greed destroy America's natural resources.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dmitriy Zasyatkin

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Steele

"

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Taylor

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Jones

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leonard Cage

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and some of the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We need
know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Westberg

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Reid

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Vassar

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Null

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Van Dinter

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Penelope Williams

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Hillary Kaplan

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Morrill

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pete Rathbun

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Kegebein

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Oldham

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Rothbell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Beth Beck

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Joanne Hesselink

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sergio Rivera

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kris Miller

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I don't believe that fracking is as benign as the National Geographic made it appear in a recent article. The dangers of ground water contamination are all too real, as is the costs to the air around the wells.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Bueno

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Lowitz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Densing

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonnie Hamdan

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Wertz

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Campton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Grier

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lonna Richmond

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Roberto

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelley Johnson

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rochelle Mikiowski

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stewart & Barbara Carr

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Mathews

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Logan Patterson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Anderson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonnie Hamdan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melinda Speidel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Redden

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Brown

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barry De Jasu

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Monica O'Brien

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

S Grenzow

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Bowles

_________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Michael Wichman

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Anne Larsen

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Petzko

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

Although some of the environmental damage from fracking is anecdotal, there is no question that significant amounts of methane are being directly released into the atmosphere, that millions of gallons of potable water are rendered unusable and that there is significant risk to aquifers from poorly regulated independent operations.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary O'Connor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angela Nelson

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Brown

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Malone

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katrin Winterer

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Mc Carten

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Mc Carten

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Bruner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Wells

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It doesn't make sense to destroy our country!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dirk Bruehl

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sue Bridge

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

This is personal to me and the entire earth’s health. Please act wisely as our intelligence shows we can do, but many times do not. Thank you.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Liddy

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Penelope Cooper-Kelley

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roger Baston

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Jowdy

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Heasley

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marie Buckley

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine Pawlak

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Stutts

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Mcneely

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Erica Blatt

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Strauss

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kendra Knight

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Hahn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Scanlon

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carrie Brummette

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Orsetti

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alex Flowers

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Garber

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William J Fleming

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I was born and raised in the Finger Lakes area of New York and am a property owner on Seneca Lake.....the heart of the proposed fracking push in NY. The whole idea that this program is being sold as a road to 'energy independence' when it is actually a 'road to riches' for the fuel industry is the height of arrogance. I am especially interested to know why the watersheds of New York City and the Syracuse area are set to be isolated from fracking while the rest of the state will be subject to paying the TRUE cost of this energy!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John R. Hoffman

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Ninedorf
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gilia Humrich
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Miquelon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wendy Norfolk

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bill Sweeney

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Breakfield

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cindy Mcdaniel

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Nicholls

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Buchanan

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marylee King

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Mcneely

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martin Pepper

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Silverman

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carole Feray

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katrina Shadix

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sithfredo Rivera

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Lebovitz

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bjorn Sjogren

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Buetens

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Caffrey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sadruddin Hossain

-----------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It is possible to make an objective and independent assessment of the true COST to our environment. Who has the mandate from the people to do this? The DOE!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michele Meyer

----------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael J Shea

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

People of Appalachia whose homes have been destroyed with fracking operations can tell you a lot if you have ears to hear and eyes to see. So much goes by the American public without anyone taking notice, but not with this important issue. California is waking up to this terrible problem, the sleeping dragon awakens. Good morning!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Schaaf

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Trainor

---------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anthony P. Vessicchio

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Smith

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Josep Artigas

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

To me, it isn't even about the exporting. It is about two things: the impact on our home (the earth!) and the impact on the people in close proximity to the fracking site. Just the name says it all to me - FRACKING = FRACTURING.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Busking

-------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Simik

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katrina Shadix

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jamie Tatreau

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Hrebin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessica Hart

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Collins

***************************************************************

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wanda Walker

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charlee Terrell

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Will Russell

------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Stewart

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Zayac

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phoury Chhun

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joy Bellamy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Brookover

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. What's up with that? People are just invisible, or we don't count for some reason?

The DOE, as you must know, has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. You know, 'We the People.' A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doug Westendorp

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melody Schaeffer

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Let's face it - until we have scientific studies on the health and environmental impacts of fracking - it must be banned, before it is too late!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clare Tobin

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Sirgo

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leah Targon

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

amy carroll

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Braunstein

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Sivley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bruce Spallino

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Kelleen Theis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurie Allen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to do what is right for the environment and the American people.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Sigle

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Travis Jardon

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Davies

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Moran

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Wilson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Byron Regnier

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jacob Carter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

B R Metrick

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Curtis Stofferahn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Randall Piazza

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shane Daugherty

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Let's stop subsidizing petroleum and other forms of dirty energy and keep pumping money into clean energy: solar, wind, fuel cell, biomass, landfill gas, hydro, and wave energy. All of these alternatives can become useful in the LONG TERM. Short term solutions are never good for anyone, it's a false sense of hope. Stop hurting the environment and the majority of the people! The only people who benefit are the natural gas companies!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Rory Mcgonigle

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Tracy Johnston

------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Wood

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Naeem Nulwala


Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Mcvey


Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

C Tully

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Etta Albright

------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Finazzo

------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Jackson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Rose

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Carr

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helene Weissman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christina Jutting

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Corinne Ogrodnik

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gay Van Van Ouwerkerk

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Perry

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Wheelock

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Young

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellie Mccaffrey

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Nelson

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephen Johnson

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Kahn

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Clean Water is one of our most important resources. There is less and less of it. Make sure it is protected.

T

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Wiggins

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Safia Gravel

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Harl

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Josephs

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra La Mont

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. It is long past time when it is acceptable for big companies to ignore the true cost of doing business. They may no longer impose these costs on the people of this, or any other country.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Eaton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Batdorf

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

fred johnson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

The fracking process is contributing to Climate Change at an alarming rate. Some states like my state of Ohio has laws in place that make it near impossible for any regulation on where toxic wells are established and what can be put in them. Ohio is in effect becoming a toxic waste dumping ground and this is unacceptable. We must have EPA regulations to control what goes in the ground in these wells and also regulations that force companies to release information on the chemicals they are using during the fracking process and what this toxic water contains.

I worked with Beneze in the military and understand how toxic this chemical is. Allowing this chemical to be transported by water or vehicle should be a tightly controlled and restricted process. Injecting this chemical back into the ground is a disaster waiting to happen, and that does not take into account the other toxic chemicals contained in the toxic waste created form fracking.
We must learn from our mistakes. In the past we freely dumped chemicals on the ground and have seen health issues that have devastated families and communities ever since. We have also had to spend billions in tax payer dollars to clean up our mistakes. Let’s not make the same mistake again. Let’s get a handle on the fracking process in all states and make sure this process is as safe as we can possibly make it for our communities and for our environment.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Schultz

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vince Amicone

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Kujath

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jesse & David Krempasky

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daren Johnson

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Jordan

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ajay Puri

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nan Scott

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Bell

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Packer

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Bommarito

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vivian Benus

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Antonio Caniano

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Darcy Dallin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Frazier

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M. Smith

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Huntley Hennessy

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Victor Drescher

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Roxey

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Brown

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Carroll

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Todd Monaghan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rose Jimenez

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard John Kinane

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shari Bruun

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please include mining for fracking sand. Here in Minnesota, the landscape is increasingly marred by this industry. Our rolling hills and woods and rivers are being visually and spiritually ruined.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Les Stern

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessie Tallarico

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Young

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Simons

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Shorey

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefiednatural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joni Lindgren

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Dale

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clark Hiestand

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Burt Kopito

Department of Energy

Deer Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Gard

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lee Lloyd

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Adkins

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Aronson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tammi Dreier

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doris Filer

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Virginia Piekarski

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Jennemann

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rodney Hanson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jenny Johnson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Solimando

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alice Weeks

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

King El

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Klock

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Allers

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim May

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betty Dodd

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebeca Krause

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Harris

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tracy Harris

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Whiteside

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eileen Sonnenberg

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dale Almond

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bruce Anderson

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Luis Chavez

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Coyle

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Gilbert

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Ellis

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob and Carolyn P

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Lewis

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Many of us are fully aware of the enormous dangers that fracking poses to the environment and peoples health, so please stop this atrociously stupid practice and start working on real, long term, clean energy solutions instead.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joshua Van Deventer

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Holly White

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karenb Bethune

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Keefe

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Robin Standlee

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We all
deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Monica Schultz

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pat Baldwin

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Payne

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Price

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurie Livingston

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jas Kal

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Fisher

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wendy Kaczerski

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Noel Parenti

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Charnas

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Greene

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please don't let the fox guard the hen house. Such misguided reports must stop. We deserve the truth. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Martin

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jan Green

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandy Leigh

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in or place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Fracking is not a long term or short term option because of the health risks it possess by polluting our waters.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Seanette Corkill

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Carmichael

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Malotte

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Crystal Wolf

_____________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Jordan

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Bennett

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kilgore

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Day Denton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Daly

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Joyner

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Weldon

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Marshall

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Simone Nicholson

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Wright

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

I suggest that DOE collaborate with the EPA to complete a thorough environmental impact of hydro-fracking on our farming communities, starting with the report by Oswald and Bamberger from Cornell that links waste by products to animal deaths and reproductive issues.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christopher Comparetta, MS

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only manages to conclude that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete, unbiased environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities and in the communities along the pipelines that take the gas to the export facilities. We deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules to protect our interests must be put in place BEFORE DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diana & Charles Bain

--------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Suesoy Eddy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edward Eadie

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Chismar

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Scott Myerly

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Wouldn't it be vastly more economical to pipe XL to NW coast refineries for distribution instead of through the entire country, particularly through aquifers of pure water when water is becoming noticeably scarce?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Linda Sprowl

----------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Marshall

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Bunner

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carter Howe

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barb Fath

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Sedivy

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Fouche

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Eubank

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Marsh

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

A Mervyn & Marilyn Carse

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Earl Morse

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karyn Barry

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Crotty

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Mumford

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Joyce

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mendieta

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Nagel

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peggy Keller

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karin Winegar

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

S Grenzow

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Reeves

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicole Schildcrout

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martine Laverdure

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Seeley

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gregory Blysard

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Grindstaff

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bettye Anderson

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Desiree Fleck

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

frank g sandoli

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Frost

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Warren Hankinson

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barry Valdivia

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Cambria

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Kitchens

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Hunt

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Revesz

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Constance Brasier

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Malik James

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Meaghan Leavitt

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

As a Civil Engineer, I have serious concerns about the current policies regarding hydraulic fracking, including the exemption of fracking from regulation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) has released a policy statement on the subject which reads:

'ASCE strongly recommends that current regulations be reviewed, revised or enhanced, as needed, to:

- Mandate full public disclosure of all chemicals and other propping agents in the fracturing fluid. Control the handling, use, and disposal of chemicals in the hydraulic fracturing process. Establish well construction and decommissioning standards to protect underground sources of drinking water and to prevent methane loss. Establish site closure and restoration standards. Reduce the freshwater footprint for each fracturing operation by reuse of the flowback fluid. Assure the safe treatment and disposal of used fracturing fluids, flowback fluid and producer well waters. Ensure adequate controls over stormwater runoff or overflow from the well site. Ensure that there is no surface infiltration of waste...
and production fluids into near-surface aquifers and recharge zones. Promote research on hydraulic fracturing, including the effects of multiple drilling operations in a single watershed.

Protect in-stream water flows and determine the cumulative impact of multiple drilling operations within a single groundwater basin or watershed.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Less

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Schambach

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betsy Cox

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

NO MORE FRACKING OF ANY KIND!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ginny Griffin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Scholten

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Klaus
Dr. Germmann

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shelley Bance

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Barnette

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ketric Nedelea

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Franc Palaia

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Reb Babcock

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Herschlag

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kim Renskers

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Foertsch

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Gupton 

--------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Schroeder

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beth Carr

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Philip Virgil

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Iovino

-------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Bresee

-------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Stop, look, and listen. Do not pass GO. Lessons for children, learned by children, and then frequently forgotten by adults as we learn the fine arts of compromise, balancing issues, getting along - all fine skills, but frequently obstacles to recognizing our moral compasses and the need to take a stand. As a former federal executive, I am aware of many of the challenges government leaders can face; I am also aware of the commitment we have to public service and leadership. Take a stand; do what is right for the future, for the unknowns of the science at this point; remember there are corporate interests lurking behind so many reports.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Silva

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Horan

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Ramon
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frances Mac Donald

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Halsey

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deana Mezoued

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Connie Aveline

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Brown

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M Gordon

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Taylor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Roesner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katie Barnes

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Waters

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anna Louise Fontaine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sean Gilpin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Peterson

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marytheresa Martini

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Nelson

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donny Harvey

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Hubbard

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Blanca Rodriguez

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Musial

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eladio Rivera

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It is past time to move forward on clean, renewable energy. Our water is a precious resource, and must be protected.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Paxson

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dave Lewis

--------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Silvia Olvera

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Flores

------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I live in NE Ohio and know this to be true. Please, stop ruining our air and water. They are not the government's to sell.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jack O'Toole

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please check out this website people are being harmed by the natural gas fracking drilling happening in Pennsylvania. http://pennsylvaniaallianceforcleanwaterandair.wordpress.com/the-list/

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Snyder

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Granfortuna

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David & Jeanna Cesaratto

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Wilcox

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Toni Thomas

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Vaccaro

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Howard

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Wuthier

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane And Dave Nefores

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sue Schneidler

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deon Simon

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Burns

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

It strikes me as a staggering oversight that the potential health and environmental effects of widespread fracking are being given less attention than the economic benefit to many fewer individuals. In this country we have a terrible history of moving quickly ahead on projects that have dire consequences for individuals and the environment. I do NOT

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Dahl

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. We know that there are harmful gases that are not divulged to the public that used in Fracking. Divulge them NOW!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Veronica Schweyen

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Small

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Scott

________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Mackinnon

________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Swingle

--------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Time to put people's health before fracker's wealth.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claire Mayer

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Luscomb

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Guinnup

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Mark Winslow

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Kaye

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rob Lowe

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Don Waldron

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

craig stetina

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Ellis

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dambrosio

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M Gutierrez

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Letitia Mooney

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dee Morris

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Beebe

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Muhammad Islam

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Stucker

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

My Wife and I, as concerned Citizens- 'We the People'........

Demand That Natural Gas 'NOT BE EXPORTED for false Profit to the detriment of Citizens Water Resources by chemicals used in 'fracking'!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert & June Mcnicoll

---------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maryann Maloney

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Schneider

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris De Nuzzio

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Craner

-------------------------------------------------
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Sims

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Kepley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Awilda Marquez

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dianna Klau

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gloria Lenoir

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ben Phipps

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Do we care about our grandchildren’s world? This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cletus Stein

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charlene Reader

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edward Savage

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Lackland

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Shadel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Serino

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Glick

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Edelmann

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Mary Reiter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kristin Belknap

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Sand

------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Global warming emissions need to be considered in the report as well.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Middleton

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rodolfo Peraza

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Turner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carl Henne

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kristi Kawanna

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Lombardi

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This is very, very important to us, and should be for the country as a whole!

The industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ursula & Herbert Cohrs

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stewart Mortman

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Caroline Smith

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allison Phipps

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Begley

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tali Weiss

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Flawed, self serving science is not science. Neutral assessments by unbiased sources are the only scientific as well as equitable way to document the multi-dimension effects on American citizens and on our essential resources. This rushing through of a bad idea based on a biased source is not in the best interests of the American people either in the short or long term.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maureen Cleveland-Ryan

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J Noble

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

G G Armstrong

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I am personally concerned about fracking because I live in the Delaware River watershed in NJ. This provides fresh water to millions in NJ, PA and NY. They are talking about fracking here. I don't want my water to be endangered - until it is PROVEN that fracking causes no ill effects.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Burke

-----------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

S E Williams

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurie Figary

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Chasan

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betsy Eaton

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Louann Merrill

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Hougham

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Avarello

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Eckler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hepler

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Colby Nez

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Akshay Chalana

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Art Hanson

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

With personal friends living near a fracking site - I've heard them tell nightmarish stories for years about the results on their drinking water that process has created. There is no way to justify poisoning the environment and the people who live in it in order to release more carbon based fuel into the environment.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.


The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Bacon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

The best way to preserve our future and the future of the planet is to leave all the 'tough oils' - this includes shale gas - in the ground.

Sincerely, Harriet Whitehead

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Why are we damaging our environment to send natural gas overseas? That is the most uncaring and shortsighted decision I have heard of!! Your new name: Department of Trashing America.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wanda Schertz

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Reed

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beverly Pettway

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allyn Schneider

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Koschmeder

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

A Pamella Marks

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please look carefully at all the possible negatives because in ten or twenty years that's what's going to matter.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Finnerty

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be
put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will
impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Pentek

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed
report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the
money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people
in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working
Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by
polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG
exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be
put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will
impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Davies Nagel

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Wilson

__________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Hardy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Beyer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Javenkoski

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Browning

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bettina Conrad

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robyn Cleaves

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Shuman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

It is critical to consider a much broader analysis of costs than what this report included. In such appraisals it is time we stopped ignoring the significant costs associated with the resulting environmental disruption and increased pollution.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Owen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Eppler

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Now is the time!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Dillner
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Florence Christoplos

Let us not destroy our country, so others may profit from it. Remember that our children and generations to come will inherit what we leave behind.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to consider the needs and well-being of our own people before we endanger our resources by exporting them to other countries.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dale Wright
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Proof is multitudinous on the dangerous and negative results of fracking in areas that have been ***** by this process. The jobs are fleeting. The towns lose a lot of money fixing roads and with extra social services costs. Water supplies are forever tainted. Cows die. Crops fail. Where is your loyalty? To corporations ot to the American People you were formed to protect?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Sarabasha

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,
Beth Darlington

------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Sherry Murphy

------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

WE MUST protect our environment. It is the only place we live. All tests and reports should come from scientific bodies exempt from the influence of big oil and gas.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Rhodes

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

J P

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Al Qualiardi

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Polsgrove

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wayne Dederick
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Silvey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Stanton

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Beiter

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bj Wieland-Doucet

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Rohm

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

There is ample evidence at this time that the extraction of liquefied natural gas is seriously harming communities and water tables--forever!--and simply is not a viable process for acquiring further fuel resources, for Americans nor for lucrative sales to other countries!

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports and to reveal the serious dangers to the American public and the heritage of American lands. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, exposing the impacts in fracked communities. American citizens need to know what the real costs will be to us from the fracking process and from the 'economics' of sending natural gas overseas. Rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Shelton

--------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dave Wiig

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
Personally, I have friends whose lives have been dramatically changed by fracking in their area of East Texas. The county roads have been torn up by big trucks; there is machinery that operates, 24-hours a day, 7-days a week, causing noise and sleepless nights for the people near the compressor stations; earthquakes have occurred, where there have been no earthquakes before; rich farmland and timberland have been devastated by the drilling; without regard to public health and safety, the gas companies have located their wells close to houses and children's play areas. Come to East Texas and live the inconvenience and environmental degradation, if you have trouble envisioning the scenario I am describing.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Spears

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Davidson

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine Hughes

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Sebek

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Swanson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Methvin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gus Chavarria

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bas Van Schooten

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lydia Kawaler

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Pittman

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Mary Scott

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Kuehn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

LouAnn Kindlund

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

patrice faulhammer

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claire Griffin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

A team of impartial geologists and environmental medicine specialists should investigate and report on the long-term impacts of fracking in terms of destroying our bedrock and groundwater, producing carcinogens, and increased industrialization instead of scientists linked to fossil fuel industry. Either we are going to take care of this planet or we are all going to burn in hell eventually.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Keefer

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Profit from exporting a fuel that is extracted with damages to the clean air and water of the US is no profit at all to the American people.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim McGlinn
Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wayne Greene

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Wida
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Lynch

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Claus
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rich Girod

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Clark

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Oswald

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Epstein

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Aman

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Irvin & Edward Joell

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Landsverk

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Geraldine Tews

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Cuenca

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

M Klein

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Lorentzen

________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tim Faulkner

________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claire Taylor

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Rose

..................................................................................................................

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ralph Bekker

..................................................................................................................

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jolynn Davis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Everts

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annie Hallatt

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Breton Calchera

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tony Fortuna

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Axel Kraemer

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Carter

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Philip Coulling

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Gentes

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Flynn

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Kayser

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. PLEASE DON'T ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Juan Mena

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andy Ault

____________________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Moore

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Buerck

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pat Ryan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susanna Hinant

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda And Leonard Hicks

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Ross

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Simon

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doreen Gargano

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pat Shields

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

All of the adverse effects and costs of LNG extraction, processing, transportation, export, and pollution associated with the industry must be considered for the environment and for all the people affected, and not just the financial benefits for those who have money to invest in the industry. All the economic effects, long term as well as short term, need to be considered before fracking operations are expanded. Careful and accurate studies must be done to determine the problems that exist with current fracking operations. Those fracking operations, and associated industrial practices, which harm the environment, damage property or the value of property, or adversely affect the health or livelihoods of our population should be stopped and completely rectified. If the problems cannot be properly rectified, the practices that cause them should be banned permanently.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Howell

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.
Sincerely,

Dennis Hartenstine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dr. Charlie Beil

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs
in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

salvatore cento

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Stueve
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Kelleher
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dollard

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beatriz Valdes

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed & Kathy Conaway

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Musewicz

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Enyeart

----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Clean water is more important than fuel. It is wrong to destroy environments that sustain community and life-giving activities for fuel. Please stop the XL pipeline.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marcia Smith

--------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

R Wilkinson

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Harry Whittelsey

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Van Bunch

__________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Miklavcic

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wayne Johnson

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Dailey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jaynee Handelsman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Todd Johnson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kris Fobes

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

How can you do this to ordinary American citizens?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claudia Ruck

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

2012 LNG Export Study

Dear Department of Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely, Kathleen Massanari 1700 S Main St
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Davis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Herbert Sayas

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Booth Lee

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Even-Tov

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jaret Osborne

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joann Butkus

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Hoffman

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Nedd

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

CHARLOTTE COOK

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Gillono

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve...
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Chapman

--

Department of Energy

Dear Energy, 

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Chapman

--

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Fracking is dangerous and pollutes our water supply and poisons us with toxic chemicals. We need to work together to stop greedy companies from murdering us and poisoning us for profit and greed. We need to abolish fracking worldwide.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alex Oshiro

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Brown

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Don't sell us out!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shirley Hawthorne

---------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tod Lewark

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Morris

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anya Dale

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vance High

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Taylor

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deb Chichester

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annie Gower

________________________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Fascione

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tobias Schunck

-----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janice Tinkham

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It is time to end the corporate give aways. They privatize the profits from our natural resources and externalize the losses.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Call

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Carpenter

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Johnson

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Madison

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marketa Anderson

-----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I live on the Susquehanna River and since gas drilling began, small changes are happening - you can tell me as much as you want that fracking isn't dangerous, but I'll never believe you - IT'S ALL ABOUT MONEY!! With our knowledge, there mus be a safer way to obtain the gas, but, of course, it will cost more.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Raymond

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Bell

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolina Marseglia

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Jorgensen

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Stein

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mcleary

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

How does it make sense to destroy our environment in the name of energy independence and then export said energy? This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rita Hodge


Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Louise Vogel

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Timothy Marcum

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Long

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Hamilton

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christina Stableford

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Endrusick

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Miller

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan McHugh

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gay Marie Goden

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gladys Lewis

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michele Clark

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Randy Maxfield

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pam Robertson

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Morgan

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

The short term benefit of cheap NG is far out weighed by the long term threat of Fracking. In fact the false economy of cheap NG is really a threat in itself because it makes Renewables unattractive. It gives people a false sense of security and Climate Change continues to accelerate. Put the real cost of NG in the price of NG.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Cann

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Goodman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

LYNNE AND BILL STARRETT

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gordon And Luise Knutson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I expect good moral leadership regarding this flawed report. You are better than this. We need your conscience to guide you on our behalf.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Hazel Poolos

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tina Trice

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Launa Zimmaro

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Casses

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bill Jenkins

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Steinberg

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ashley Corbin-Teich

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfair dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephen and Robin Newberg

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Quinn Caya

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Hartley

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annette Schwegmann

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Margo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Luz Arpino

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Aragona

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Fracking has dire consequences. Please conduct a COMPLETE environmental and economic assessment of this process. People living in the communities where fracking takes place will have higher healthcare costs resulting from the polluted air and water that will result from this process.

No one wants their tap water to ignite - which has already happened in communities where fracking has been done. People need to have the full story of the hazards of fracking.

PLEASE proceed with caution before authorizing any exports of LNG.

The lives of people are more important than money.

Thank you.

Peg Butner

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Blain & Peg Butner

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Joyce Coogan

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Annie Pelle

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Gloudemans

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Casazza

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Al Kuehn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janis Shaw

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Blackburn

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Rosenberg

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christina Whitehurst

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Bruce Foster

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Barry Summers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Bristol

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

June Luther

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jill Versace

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Clark

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Johnson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Fisher

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Stamp

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Antell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Myers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Fry

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities — increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Henry Nachtsheij

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bettina Bowers Schwan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Fennell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Employing a fox to guard the hen house and termites to repair the foundations.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Perkins

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

TJ King

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Palughi

**************************************************************

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peggy Salazar

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wayne Wilkinson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities – increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Heinicke

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities – increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lloyd & Kathleen Hyman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Heater

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Shirilla

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Keiter

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Kaplan

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Don Hill

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Canning

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Welday

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Runfola

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Kast

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Morrison

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Galt

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Luis Pena

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ted Glick

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lyne Loomis

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Meder

_________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Why are we considering exports when the effects on our people and environment have not been truly investigated? What effects, over the long term, will this practice of injecting unknown chemicals into the ground have on our water supply? WE HAVE NOT STUDIED OR RESEARCHED THE EFFECTS OVER ALONG PERIOD OF TIME! THIS MUST BE THE FIRST PRIORITY OVER ANY FINANCIAL GAIN!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Young

_________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dhara Rivera

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Mulcare

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Crawford

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ben Luccaro

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lindy Hoppe

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Cannon

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Brooker

---------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Broderick

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Derek Johnson

--------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Mcmillan

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edward Woll

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Toobert

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Also our wildlife will be affected by this. Americans need to learn to conserve instead of buying Hummers, sitting in drive thru lanes and seating in their cars letting their cars run.

We need to take care of this world in which we live, we should be leaving it better than the way we found it.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Paszkiewicz

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lewis Eckard

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Direnzo

----------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marlene Hurley

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Gareri

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

We need to have our representatives care more about the common man.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Regina & Victor Barna

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Waynor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Noreen Byatt-Grassi

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maurene McGrain

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Miller

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

When you want to find out the facts you don't hire a company that is going to cover them up.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terri Armao

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan Jerz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Luby

--------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Schilling

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nya Chan

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Thompson

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathy S

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nya Chan

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Jodi Hanson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas Hodum

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandi Landis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Hughes

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Fracking creates destruction and irreversible harm to the water supply and environment. This is why most of the EU has banned it from being undertaken in their countries.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dawn Cois

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ralph Bocchefft

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Maley

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christofer Larason

__________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

E Peterson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hiebert

---------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Hazynski

---------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Colin & Heather Taylor

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Mc Mahon

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Soddy

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Bellenger

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rachel Verpoorten

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Camacho

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kelson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We
deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vitaly and June Volmensky

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Toll

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J. Pina

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. We
deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vitaly and June Volmensky

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Clark

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

No energy source is worth destroying air and water. Invest the money in researching and implementing alternative energies such as solar and wind.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean & Elmo Roach

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

DO NOT FRACK OUR LANDS for the sake of 'energy independence,' and then EXPORT THE GAS!

IT'S EVEN WORSE FOR CLIMATE CHANGE! Methane is 100 times more powerful in warming up the planet than CO2 (20-year time frame)! We CANNOT AFFORD to frack for gas OR oil!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven and Martha Robertson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Fine
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carl Britton, Jr.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Annecone

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Johnson

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Smith

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Al Trutter

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

S Murray

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Dowell

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joel Okada

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tricia Lyn Clemons

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kirk & Sarah Ludwig

-----------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bell

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anna Perry

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Banks

------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Your so called study of the effects of fracked natural gas is bogus to say the least. You've hired so called experts who all have strong ties to the fossil fuel industry. What is needed is a comprehensive study by impartial experts like geologists and other scientists with no ties to the fossil fuel industry. Then we can get the unbiased truth about the 'real' costs and dangers of this practice, and if they outweigh the benefits.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ben Gaffin

------------------------------------------
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Thomas

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sean Carver

-------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Esther Knierim

-------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Stanzione

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kam

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joanna Dhang

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Steiner

-------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Spier

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Amend

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Riley

-------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roberto Bosio

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Alier

---------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alina Rossano

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynn Goodwin

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Lowell

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Levy

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christopher Devine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Berti

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

If we’re serious about climate change responses, it's time to do things differently. Fracking must be thoroughly studied—not just considered as a jobs creator. For how long and to what good?

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Brenda Kameenui

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

After seeing over the years the results of energy exploration by Exxon, BP, and Shell in areas of the USA, I have ABSOLUTELY NO TRUST at all in the NERA Eco consulting report you have received. Come on. This affects our daily lives, our childrens’ health, our DRINKING WATER FOR CHRIST'S SAKE. WE MUST
NOT DESPOIL OUR NATION FOR THE BENEFIT OF A FEW CORPORATIONS. DO THE JOB YOU WERE HIRED FOR!!!!!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gustavus Ramsay

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stewart Crawford

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cyrle Perry

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Buskirk

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Warder

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peggy Breidenbach

---------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs.
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J. Williamson

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

----------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Gas produced here Should Stay Here. It is a Part of our national Treasury That is being given cheaply to Those That export IT.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bradley Strickland

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact
statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wallace Iimura

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Bowers

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Orlinski

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Ratts

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

V Zarate

----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Noble

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Hulbert

--------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Morley

--------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Huang

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Greenwood

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

A Michael Dianich

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Why are we ruining our land to send natural gas overseas? Why are we selling off a resource and, in the process, worsening our citizen's health and wrecking U.S. land? Why are we letting ourselves be duped by this industry-friendly group???

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Vermeulen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

LNG export is good 'rich' economics but dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities.

LNG exports must consider the loss of jobs moving abroad and healthcare costs of polluted air and water.

What will the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas?

Rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tatiana Grenewitz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lea Faulks

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.
This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marcy Meadows

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.
It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

At a time when the world needs to be reducing its use of fossil fuels, in order to prevent a climate catastrophe, we have no business even considering exporting liquified natural gas from fracking. As bad as fracking is, since the leaked methane from natural gas wells is four times more potent as a greenhouse gas as carbon dioxide, it does even more harm when it is liquified for export, because of the tremendous amount of energy required for liquification.

It does not matter how much money there is to be made from exporting natural gas because the cost of climate change will exceed it many fold, once we factor in recovery from natural disasters, including the impact of drought and floods on agriculture. Attempting to profit from natural gas is a fool's enterprise.

Our future depends on finding alternatives to all fossil fuels, not just for ourselves, but for the rest of the world, for climate change respects no international boundaries. Exporting natural gas is like exporting our addictions.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lois Braun

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people
in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Snyder

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Milkowski

---

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Milkowski
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dean And Marilyn Webb

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dee Hutton
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Erica Hulstrom

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Hernandez

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephen James Bennett

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Hanna

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquified natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquified natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Wendy Johnson

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard & Pamela Lindahl

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jason Younk

______________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mariell Waltner

______________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angie Klein

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Samura

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan Harris

---------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mariell Waltner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Giordani

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dean Holland

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patrick Brown

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eugene Howard

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Razo

--------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marcia Mielke

---------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Masters

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jack David Marcus

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It’s time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nick Vivian

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edgar Meyer

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Sincerely, Danah Woodruff 4185 Huerfano Ave

-------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working
Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Jackson

-----------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bob Fischella
associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Huber

------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

wENDY Johnson

------------------------------------------------------------
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Justis

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.
The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Kokoszka-Stone

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michele Roy

-----------------------------------

Department of Energy
Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Wente

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kerins

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Varga

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Brooker

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Danielle Brizzolara

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Crawford

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,
The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Silberberg

______________________________________________________________

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve
to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara and Peter Sapienza