

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

judith brill

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carole Ashley

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Allan Clark

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

angela fazzari

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Terry Ogborn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David DiBlanca

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Alan Rosenblatt

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Geraldine (Geri) Aird

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Gordon

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rob Levy

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

vicky Ramirez

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Theresa Morris

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Robert Poblete

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Pizzente-Morich

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Gorr

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joseph Brill

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

cindy connine

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

DUANE PALMITER

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Haavind

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vaughn Baker

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Josephine LaRose

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Eileen Hamlin

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Briana Clements

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

manuel rodriguez

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sharon Goldstein

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Tighe

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

sheldon pasternack

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andre Gillardin

Dear Sir or Madam:

THEY MAKE THE PROFITS, WE GET THE CANCERS - FRACKING IS TOO DANGEROUS, RECKLESS, TOO MANY TOXIC CHEMICALS AND RELEASES DENSE METHANE INTO THE ATMOSPHERE - NOT CLEAN ENERGY AT ALL.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Leitch

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

sally Thompson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joshua Lee

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Anne Salzer

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Belinda Bryce

Dear Sir or Madam:

There are very serious problems associated with the export of LNG. The negative sociological and economic impacts, particularly in the areas in which the drilling occurs, will likely far outweigh any positive benefits.

First, it makes no sense to export the natural gas -- we need to keep it in the ground until it is really needed. There is a glut of gas now. The main beneficiaries of the exports will be stockholders and gas companies. The rest of us will be affected negatively while a precious natural resource is sent elsewhere.

Second, drilling for natural gas is a boom/bust activity. HVHF tends to have a very short boom followed by a bust. This activity produces major and possibly permanent environmental damage. In addition, it is likely to have significant negative effects on the local economic activities such as agriculture, dairy farms, wineries, breweries, tourism, etc. etc.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Catherine Wagner

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Lisk

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Lisk

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lynn Baron

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ruth Gollobin-Basta

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ronald Bjick

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Stanley Lisk

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Recent revelations about EPA dropping of investigations about water contamination related to fracking because of pressure from gas producers further calls into question the true long-term costs of this procedure to the health of our environment and our citizens. Fixing this damage after the fact could well end up costing exponentially more than any profits we might make selling the gas anywhere, either at home or abroad.

Sincerely,

Marianne Mukai

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Marion Kaselle

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

There will be some impacts that can't be mitigated,; peoples's health ruined and the damage to the water shed, especially for NYC and for local folks.

DON'T ACCEPT THIS STUDY!

Sincerely,

Tom Moore

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States.

Currently, gas prices are low in the US. Foreign markets pay three times more for gas than we do. If we allow the sale of fracked gas to foreigners, then the price will rise to whatever the highest bidder is willing to pay. Foreigners will bid three times higher. So gas prices in the US will rise three times above what they are today, to compete with the foreign buyers. Who will receive these profits? Foreigners will. A series of NY Times articles showed that most of the big gas companies involved with fracking gas, are owned by foreign investors. So most of the profits will go overseas to them. The US will not not be the winners, we will be the losers. Foreigners get the money and the gas while we get poisoned water, carcinogens released over our lands and huge costly doctor bills and hospital bills. Our citizens get lost wages when they are so sick they cannot work, or when they have to stay home to take care of sick family members. The labor pool in America is reduced as people start dying. That means less revenue in the form of income tax for the government too. Since engineers working in the field for gas companies are likely to receive more exposure, the US is more likely to lose this skilled, intelligent, labor force to sickness and death. When this happens, the survivors will not encourage their children to follow in their footsteps. There will be ramifications in the future when children refuse to enter the engineering fields that killed their parents and damaged the gene pools of their siblings. the Us will fall in engineering, and energy science skills.

As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Margaret wOOD

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Ranstrom

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sam Sebren

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barbara Binder

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Douglas Turnbaugh

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Linda Frank

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Laura Koestler

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Fiore

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peggy Haine

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Norton

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Schwin

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

CandyLou Biederman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Emily Collins

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Develder

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barry Bryson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Montroy

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Brad Yentzer

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

lynida darbes

Dear Sir or Madam:

WHAT THE HELL IS THE MATTER WITH YOU? DO YOUR GODDAM JOB TO PROTECT CITIZENS, YOU JACKASSES!!!

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Stan Serafin

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Serena Farhi

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dennis Schaef

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Christine Brunner

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andrea Zinn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Wilma Mazo

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Beth Schneck

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David Tykulsker

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

judith johnson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kristopher Neidecker

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Recent history in Pennsylvania, Texas and elsewhere has shown that natural gas cannot be extracted by hydrofracking in a manner that is safe for citizens of the communities in which the extraction is occurring. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ian Rosenstein

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Camille Gaines

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Helmut Hubner c/o Lindsey

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Richard Livingston

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Bob Thomas

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Alan Lewis

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gail Perlmutter

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carol Jellett

Carol Jellett

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Charles Leiden

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Anna Henriques

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Gasoi

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Johanna Cummings

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lee Evans

Lee Evans

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Raymond Bain

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Natalie Hanson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Derek Stockdale

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pat and Jeff Gressler

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Vasily

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Frances DeMillion

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lesley Wood

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

linda jesse

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

In its approach to this issue, as well as numerous others, the Obama administration, which I supported through two elections, has left me extremely disappointed. My cynicism and lack of faith in government is at an all time high.

Sincerely,

James LaCava

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Back

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jessica Brill Ortiz

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Erica Crytzer

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nick Page

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Maureen Vollmers

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Constance Norgren

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

P. J. Bull

Jeff Bull

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jeff Bull

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

Sincere

G. Cammisa

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

gennaro cammisa

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

JUDY RHEE

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Thomas

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tomasz Makowicz

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Unai Fuente Gomez

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Katie Higgins

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Dibble

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rolla Herman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David DeRemus

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy D'Elia

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Leah Maidenbaum

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jason Shea

Dear Sir or Madam:

BAN FRACKING TOTALLY, EVERYWHERE, FOREVER, NOW!

**THERE IS NO SAFE OR CLEAN WAY TO FRACK! STOP THIS INSANE POISONING OF OUR WATER AND AIR!
WE MUST HAVE CLEAN AND PURE WATER AND AIR TO SURVIVE. WE DO NOT NEED GAS! TOTAL BAN
ON FRACKING NOW!**

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will

increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Amy Harlib

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Birch

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

rodney hemmila

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Neimand

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rachel Youens

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gordon Wildermuth

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Stewart

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dale Zavadovics

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

michael richey

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pete Hart

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Edeltraut Renk

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Judith Canepa

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lionel Ruberg

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

sandy conway

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Brian nadworny

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Victoria Trinko

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Art Hanson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Martha Robertson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

mary thomas

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Naomi Schechter

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sandra Kissam

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Beth Braun

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Christina Loccisano

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barbara Buckman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vicki Fox

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

anita Lanziero

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

zoe randall

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Toby Stover

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely, Patricia Rigby

Patricia Rigby

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Scarmack

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lucy VanArsdale

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Glenn Giles

Reject the flawed economic study, and protect our environment.

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Giles

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Audrey Gozdiskowski

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joel Wilkinson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joel Wilkinson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David Ferguson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carol Steytler

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jim Newton

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

john Visci

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Feld

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Doug and Nancy Vitarius

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Christine Vlahos

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jessica Paxton

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Helen Sacco

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

karla mcbride

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ginny Boyle

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Filsinger

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Curran

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

All these factors should be considered. This is merely a way to shore up a floundering industry which has dug itself into a virtual hole by over drilling, which drove prices down. This industry cried to us for years about how they were going to make America energy independent, and now they want to send the gas abroad? This will not help America, it will only cause MORE drilling, which will degrade the environment further, and leave us empty handed. Or shall I say, this plan will leave us with millions of tons of toxic waste and contaminated water. Who will pay for that mitigation? Who will reimburse homeowners for property devaluation? Who will help out the rural towns to rebuild roads and make up for property tax losses?

This study takes none of this into consideration. Please reject it!

Sincerely,

Annette Gurdo

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sherry McNeil

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Bevier

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ms. Smitty Gadomski

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

roger Stehlin

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

britt bollenbach

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Irene Case

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andrew Suggs

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Beth Walsh

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Strong

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Garrett Newfield

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Beth Olearczyk

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ronald Lytel

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

mary malloy

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Hall

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dean Cutler

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michelle Cady

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nina Pesante

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bernstein

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia J. Koenig, Oxford, NY

Patricia Koenig

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Bochenek

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

mae kang

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dr Reverend Ellen Sokolow

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

SARAH KNOWLES

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rita Gentile

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Erlend Kimmich

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Keith Gilmour

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Heather Greene

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Howard Burman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rose Dippel

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bizallion

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Fitzgerald

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Charleen Kavleski

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Jay

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

wm rohrer

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Simcoe

James Simcoe

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Adams

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Strange

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Mendelsohn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Mendelsohn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Mendelsohn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Amy Cherry

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Judith Katz-Schwartz

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Lipshitz

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pat Halpen

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

susanne patzold

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place. The study is flawed and should be rejected.

Sincerely,

Lorien House

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Daniel Tervo

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Daniel Tervo

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David Barlup

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

susanne patzold

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kallyn Krash

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Rosenbaum

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Clements

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joan Clements

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joan Clements

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Reed

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Reed

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bergen

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barnie graca

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

cristian graca

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Denise Speicher

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Robin Solvang

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peter Neuschel

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Kuhnert

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Yuri Gorby

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Leong

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tomas DiFiore

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tara Holmes

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Roter

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

susan riddiford shedd

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Albury

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tracy Griswold

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Madeline Rogers

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kathy Shapiro, Woodhaven 11421 ny and Glen Spey 12737 ny

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is my letter to the President. Let us begin this 2nd term truly using our creativity and inventiveness for a sustainable future.

Dear Mr. President

In your inaugural address today, you felt that one of the most important efforts we must make is to seriously address Global Warming and promoting sustainable energies of which none are fossil fuels since they are not sustainable and natural gas is one of these fuels.

Exporting Natural gas will not only promotes more global warming but also it will promote more extreme forms of drilling for Natural Gas, called fracking.

How are we to be “energy independent” if the resource that is to make us “energy independent” gets shipped abroad to the highest paid customers. The result will be that this “cheap fuel” will not be cheap. In addition even the Department of Energy in their energy Review of 2012 admitted that in the entire United States there is only 20 years of shale gas basing our usage from 2010. As for “Riches” from Natural Gas. The question is who gets the riches, certainly not the general population.

You had mentioned in your eloquent address today, that as written in the Declaration of Independence; “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness.” To promote now exporting of fracked fossil fuels are contrary to this important declaration made by our founding fathers more than 200 years ago. Fracking is mining Death but our declaration is about our right to life. People and communities are being forever damaged by extreme forms of drilling for fossil fuels including Natural Gas. Please adhere to your uplifting tenets of today for the remainder of your second term. The country and the world so desperately need you to use that as your guiding goal for the next four years.

Sincerely,

Margery Schab

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Silvia Benelli

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for the minority of people that own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally, it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joel L. Bromberg

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Contrary to what BP, ExxonMobil, Shell and their greedy cohorts think, it's NOT all about jobs and money. Our kids still need a planet they can live on.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Donna Hawn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Harley

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen London

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Amy Little

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Covill

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gary Hindin

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peter and Gert Coleman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joseph Mangan PE

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Judy A Smith

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Hudson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joan Conca

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lea Harper

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lynn Sherrick

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Larry Pearson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vincent A. Sanborn

Vince Sanborn

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gabrielle Stubbert

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sharron Stewart

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carola Polakov

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

julie schroeder

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans. Americans will suffer from fracking so that other countries can gain. Americans in fracking communities suffer from cancer, asthma, birth defects and neurological problems from exposure to toxic fracking fluids. Just because the gas companies have gag orders on people who suffer harm does not mean the suffering does not exist or that people don't suffer. Unfortunately, it means they suffer in silence.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place. When fracking occurs, real estate values plummet and the water and air are polluted and the landscape ravaged. Many banks will not give mortgages to people who own gas leases and Nationwide and Metlife will not provide home insurance to people who have gas leases because fracking is too dangerous.

Sincerely,

Shirley Schue

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

April Peress

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Irina Peress

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

brannen molly

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Patton

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Emma Gonzalez

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Roy Doremus

Dear Sir or Madam:

Exporting gas to other countries gas will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

loretta prisco

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Cimino

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Steven Hebdon

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mina Bail

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Louise Lessard

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Nielsen

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

SUSAN CHEW

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

cathy cioffi

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Penelope King

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Duane mcdonald

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Shoffner

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jan Mengel

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andrew Silvestri

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Maria Diaz

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

alice schneiderman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

lise brenner

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Edward Wolfe

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peter Cramer

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

kathleen schnitzer

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Glen Van Blarcom

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

charlotte rau

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michelle Howell

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

kimberly slack

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Steven Crismore

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Alison Guzman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hoppin

Dear Sir or Madam:

It does not make sense to put our nation at risk from the side effects of hydraulic fracturing. It is not consistent with the public interest. It is a national + food security risk that we can not afford to take. The profits of the few gas companies to sell their products abroad is not a good enough reason to risk the future contamination of our precious watersheds, air and health of our population.

Sincerely,

Vivian Beatrice

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Masako Grow

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John striley

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

christine newell

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sue Elliot

Dear Sir or Madam:

I wish to comment here on the NERA Study outlining by not filling in necessary background studies (such as the combined losses of regional, state and local impacts, its gross overestimate of stock holders and its concentration on a top class of investors--not taking into account present bankruptcies from foreign investors; its overstatement of US macroeconomic impacts and many more flaws too numerous to mention here)--flaws which I find shocking considering the weight this Study plays in your decision to export gas.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Henrietta Wise

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Bass

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

dawn birch

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tracy Bramlet

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Vaughan

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Anna Engdahl

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pat Whitehead

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

laure woolverton

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gordon Thomson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Terrie Kasper

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Arden Zollweg

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Thompson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Donald Quarles IV

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Daniel Wise

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Brown

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

G Bedrosian

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Borgmann

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Charles Burch

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diana Yatsonsky

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Nobel

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Traci Holt

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
ANNA WRIGHT

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Highfield

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and

health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Sally McMahan

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Gina Carola

Department of Energy Personnel:

The NERA Consultants' study is flawed on many fronts. The very basis on which it was conducted is faulty, for starters. Even if it were remotely possible to extract shale and tar-sands gas and oil via current methodologies were safe for people, environment, planet, and communities (which it is decidedly, scientifically NOT), unfettered exports could not benefit the United States in the short term or in the long term.

As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for U.S. consumers and depress wages for some workers. Financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants cannot outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans. As you and people who work in the three branches of government are surely aware.

The NERA study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. More precisely, it fails to consider and acknowledge that it is simply not POSSIBLE to ever mitigate these harms, as many Pennsylvanian and West Virginian and other families attest.

Ditch this NERA study. If you want help finding other, non-industry-connected researchers with whom to

work on a VALID study, get in touch with me and I will put you in touch with economists who do not have a personal stake in pushing fracking.

Sincerely,
Maura Stephens

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Barbara Endes

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
James Carter

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that

unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Susan Liebell

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Joseph Fekete

Dear Sir or Madam:

STAND UP TO THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY AND PROTECT OUR PLANET!

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Gilbert

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Christy Rohner

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Chris Erikson

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Clarke

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Chris Erikson

Dear Sir or Madam:

Even recoverable shale gas is not infinite, the amount that acutally can be recovered affordably is even less. Exports serve the gas producers and not the vast majority of consumers, business and home users who coose those fuel option. Yes we have a balanc eof payments issue in the US but exporting LNG is no way ot fix it, it will cost the federal government far more in the long run. Don't fall for the fastle arugments proposed bythe NERA Consultants.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and

health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Ralph Kisberg

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Natella Vaidman

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Julie Longmore

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that

unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Cliff Westfall
Highland Park, NJ

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth & Wilbur Wood

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Matthew Lipschik

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Karen Scugoza

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
susanne patzold

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
George Fawcett

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Andrew Hatfield

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,
Janiece Staton