Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Linda Heiartz
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Christine Leiva
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lenore Reeves

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Drake Scott

-----------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Diana Moll

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Helen Anderson

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

dogan ozkan
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

D. Singer

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shanti Chagnon

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

susan delles

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bob Hannigan

---------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities. Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Lynn Mystic-Healer
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Shipping U.S. gas overseas is not a solution for 21st century economic development but a greedy, dangerous, and detrimental option for the future of our country.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

Gas industry profits, while local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. Unfair! The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

The Dept of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these very serious consequences into account. Look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late!

Sincerely, C Skinner / Portland OR
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

rhonda lawford
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Stone
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robyn Janssen
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Nona Donahue

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late. Ban all overprofiting energy companies.

Sincerely,

john pasqua

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robin Maule

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dianora Niccolini

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Patricia Shade

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Brian Beinlich

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kari Rein

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Raja Anderson

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Nettleton

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Vileisis

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Sincerely,

William O'Brien

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

francis mangels
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Pamela VourosCallahan
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Joseph Vaile
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Maureen Roche
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

We need renewable resources...not business as usual. We need good clean energy...no more polluting energy. We will only be making money for the rich while devastating our planet. This is immoral to continue supporting polluting energy sources.

Sincerely,

Vivianne Mosca-Clark
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Chad Derosier

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Hannah Grace

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Erika Giesen

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Angela Wyble

-------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

HUGH LENTZ

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ayani Mikasi

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Basey Klopp

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Olson

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

LeeAnn Thompson

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ronald Weaver

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Betty J. Van Wicklen
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Edith Montgomery
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

lore witt
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jenny Riley
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Ron Szymanski
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Forest Shomer
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Steve Lanusse-Siegel

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michele Horton

-----------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Anthony Montapert

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jerry Sullivan

-----------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Sahnow

---------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

robert l. smith

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Sally Purbrick-Illek

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

Exporting our precious non-renewable energy resources can not in the long run possibly benefit the American people. We will then run out sooner than if we were to keep it on our own soil and then what?

In the meantime, it seems obvious that exporting will increase the price of natural gas for the American people to compete with the higher overseas prices.

Not to mention the environmental compromises and threats created for Oregon proposals to build a pipeline across rugged steep terrain and disturb one of our best harbors.

Surely the cost and pollution created by the huge tankers crossing and recrossing the ocean is not in the American interest.
Natural gas is flammable and explosive. Humans often make mistakes. The risk is increased by the massive infrastructure required to transport and freeze the LNG for export. If an accident were to happen it would cost the American people hugely.

Please look realistically at the public health, economic and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before approving anything.

Sincerely,

M.R. Buddenhagen
19145 Upper ow Creek

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Paul Burke - Author Journey Home

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Matthew Riley

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Sincerely,

Marcella Laudani

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bryant Helgeland
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Travis Walters

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Please help protect 'our fragile island home,' earth!

Sincerely,

Susie Stevens-Briody

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coastlines, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

stu philips

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

stu philips

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mary Lyda

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mary Lyda

---------------------------------------------------------------------
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shelley Elkovich

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

arlene dreste

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Colleen Lobel

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am so against this !!

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

M McGillivary

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Heather Dale

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

George Neste

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

William Sharfman

------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Sincerely,

Ann Cobban

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robert Helm
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The current fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Plus this valuables energy resource is not re-newable and therefore, should be reserved for our own use.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robert Mumby
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lisa Neste
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Linda Kapuler
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

James Mulcare
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. Andrew & Antonia Chianis

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities. I am especially concerned about the proposed Jordan Cove facility and associated pipeline, which is in my
own cherished landscape, endangering salmon and critical watersheds, but it will adversely affect water and landscapes across the country as fracking becomes even more of a 'rush' for extraction of natural gas anywhere and everywhere.

Communities needing to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export, are not going to get much help from the industry; homeowners in New York and Pennsylvania are already seeing irreversible damage to their water, their property rights, and even their property values.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution. How any of this can be honestly presented as 'the public good' completely eludes me.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Carol & Kenneth Ampel

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

George Sexton

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Linda Bescript

--

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

opie heyerman

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Laura DiPietro

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Doug Landau

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Tidwell

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

It's time to think about the health and safety of American communities and not corporate profits!

Sincerely,

Charles Steadman

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a
major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Brenda Troup

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities. Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

james thompson

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Brent Jensen

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Mihalek
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Helen Logan Hays
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

dinda evans

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

G Maynard Freemole

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
This being a form letter does not negate the fact that it represents my feelings.

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

David Edwards

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Lynnea Lux-Kosiewicz

----------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Anthony Capobianco

---------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Karen Olsen

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline...
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mallika Henry

-----------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Esther Juhl

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

clea arthur

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Burkhart

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

shane daugherty

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

andrea frank

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Susan Dunaway
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

I see the export of natural resources as the action of a colony.

By the law of supply and demand, shipping our natural gas to opening markets increases demand, and will also increase prices to American households. Granted, it will further enrich the 1% and further increase the gap between the haves and the have nots, but right now I am more interested in heating my home than making already rich people even richer.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Gerard Payne
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Adam Marlow
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

GC Panagin
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jacob Kann
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Jan McCreary
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Eggers

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Watters

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jeffry Hanus

-----------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Chris Drumright

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Martha Shelley

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am EXTREMELY concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

The natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create great economic, in addition to environmental, problems nationwide -- especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing (i.e., the 'fracking') needed to supply this gas.

Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property -- and the adverse impacts to rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits this endeavor to export this fuel, the local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Therefore, I earnestly EXHORT you to require the National Economic Research Associates (the NERA) to revise its economic study so it will take into accout all those serious adverse economic and environmental impacts -- and then DENY THE PERMITS!

Sincerely,

Fred Fleetwood

---------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kelly McConnell

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Grant Low

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

stu o'neill

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Alicia Ritter

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

kathleen tomko

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kenneth West

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Tierney Grinavic
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Pam Lucas
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Steve Sheehy
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Shaffer
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Wade Ward

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Melanie Mindlin

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Sean Peterson

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline...
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Nagel

-----------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Levine

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Susanna DeFazio

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jason Rice

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Tyler Kegler

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Teresa Morris

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Susannah Kegler
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Hollyfield
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lori Kegler
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bob Thomas

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Nelson Baker

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

William Ward

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John and Polly Wood

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Andrea Vazquez

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Harlan Walker-Young

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Gregory Monahan

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,


Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jay Roelof

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Richkus

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Valerie Hildebrand

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

We are extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.
Sincerely,

Bill & Marilyn Voorhies

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Randy Pearson
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Stacey Rohrbaugh
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

G Collins
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Denise Brennan

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Carl Lorenz

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Betsey Norton

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

nicole silva
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Modarelli

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lorenz Steininger

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John and Martha Stoltenberg
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Herberg
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Paul Lima
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bastian

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

angela fazzari

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Randy Harrison

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Lisa Champlin

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Bechmann

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Steven Tichenor

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Natalie A Carter

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mervi Rantala

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Judi Stratton

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Valerie White

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Bradley
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Marion Hadden
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

jean lopardo
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Laurie Solomon
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Richard Heinlein
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Elena Kalmykova

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Janelle Pollocl

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

David Kalisher

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

jane civiletti

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jason Chin

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

J. Gregory Twain

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create
liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Frank Hill

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Malena Marvin

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.
Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Aaron Taylor
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Wiley
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jackson
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Stuart

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Alex Landt

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

You really want to help children? I mean millions of children? Help them for decades and centuries? Then do something about the environment? Gun legislation is all well and good. How many times has some mad man gone in and killed children in a class room? We're watching the Earth tell us the way
we're living is unsustainable and not doing a damn thing about it. Get your act together. Stop giving into republicans all the time. Use your power to do something good.

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dave Dunkak

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lesley Adams

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Gudrun Dennis

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am concerned that the Department of Energy is failing to examine effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG), in making decisions on permitting LNG export facilities.
The natural gas industry plan to export this fuel would create a nationwide crisis due to an increase in the ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also feel impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coastlines and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses enormous amounts of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

The DOE study is proceeding without taking these serious consequences into account. Please look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG.

Sincerely,

David Lawrence

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

June Cattell

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Carla Hervert

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Glyn Deputy
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ben Basin
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Allan Peterson
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ashlee Davis

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Bechmann

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jason Green

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Nicole Weber

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Nicole Weber

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
while the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ellaine Lurie Janicki

DEAR U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY & PRESIDENT OBAMA,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jody Conrad

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bob Morse
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Terry Tedesco-Kerrick

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bastian
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shelly Peterson

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shelly Peterson

-----------------------------

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michele Mercer

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.
Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

sandy kavoyianni
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Toni Geer

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,
I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kevin Silvey
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Candy LeBlanc
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Candy Bowman

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.
While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robert Janssen
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Dia Paxton
Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy’s study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it’s too late.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Tidwell

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

Admittedly, the following words were not composed by me, but I have read them all and agree with them wholeheartedly. They speak for me

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry’s plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or ‘fracking’ needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline
swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Barbara Comnes