

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

While not a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. In addition, the job market will expand along with the new industry. Can anyone doubt this benefit??? Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

D Douglas
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

What better way to move us to renewables but, to exhaust our existing energy sources Millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Why not try to maximize that worth reasonably? As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the daily produced volumes from shale gas resources located in the United States. As has been demonstrated, higher gas production is cheaper at the nozzle. Across all reasonable scenarios, the U.S. is projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports.

Sincerely,

Nick Privett
Edmond, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

We need i here to keep prices low so I can afford to heat my house. Thank you. mark

Sincerely,

mark Wiley
tigard, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

The oil and gas industry is a bright spot in our economy. Please help our economy thrive by supporting the export of U.S. natural gas. The proliferation of shale gas production should be a jackpot for the U.S. Currently, we can't take advantage of the opportunity because the abundant supply has saturated the market depressing domestic prices. Let's capitalize on this unexpected opportunity by allowing natural gas to be sold abroad, creating thousands of jobs and helping to stabilize the world gas market, for the benefit the global economy. I disagree with Congressman Markey's objections and don't want our economy to be held hostage by protectionism. Please support efforts to export natural gas for the benefit of our country and our economy.

Sincerely,

Jan Sieving
The Woodlands, TX

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

Sending our liquified natural gas over seas creates private profit from public lands. The pollution of our environment and the addition of those extra fossil fuels let loose on our earth would have a huge impact on our children\'s future. Keep all fossil fuels in the ground where they belong. Save the earth.

Sincerely,

Patt Doyle
Heisson, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support of LNG exports as an employee of the oil industry. They should be approved because we'll have an abundance of natural gas that future technical advances will only increase and because pricing issues raised in opposition to increased exports appear overstated from recent analyses. The benefits of added domestic jobs, support of allies in an increasingly hostile world, and the higher net benefits and deficit reductions of unconstrained exports outweigh such concerns. Added flexibility in periods of market imbalances is a further added advantage. In a recovering economy such LNG exports create jobs, reduce trade deficits, and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Will Ollison
Rockville, MD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

M. Davis
Pittsboro, IN

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Gilbert
Surprise, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Shirley
Hope Hull, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security. Please use the Natural Resources that we ALREADY have.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hollien
Gainesville, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marie Ferrell-Mewes
Pocahontas, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Teeter
Lake Tapps, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresa Stone
Florissant, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Little
Chandler, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike McEuen
Concordia, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Dunham
Oxnard, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Porter Conerly
Chapel Hill, NC

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Schuelke
Waukesha, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sarah Anderson
Tyler, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits. As a 2011 study found that millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s, but corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. The US has an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have also led to a dramatic increase in our recoverable shale gas resources. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such indicate that the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). One study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic prices. By becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad we could create many new liveable wage jobs here at home. The domestic job creation potential is so great that one report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. The additional gas production for export would generate billions of tax dollars that federal and state governments alike really need every year, and especially in view of our soaring federal deficit. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, and maintain production and employment levels,. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security. Thank you for your consideration of my remarks.

Sincerely,

Ray Taylor
West Linn, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

Dear Steven, As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Teachman
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As user of the products of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frances Innes
Villa Hills, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As someone who has been chronically unemployed over the past 3 years, but have the electronics, electrical and other skills well suited for the LNG industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Perry Bonney
Rosharon, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As President of the Albuquerque Petroleum Association, and a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerra Gonzales
Albuquerque, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lewis
Louisville, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Kropf
Wauwatosa, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

N.L. Hauser
Carmel, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hauck
Pittsboro, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don & Sue McFarland
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kerry Stone
Fort Lauderdale, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Gamble
Dallas, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Franck
Papillion, NE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diana Hopcia
Buffalo, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robin Midulla
Tampa, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Pakulski
The Woodlands, TX

2/1/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ashley Settle
Washington, DC

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Ahlert
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Smith
Grand Prairie, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Ford
Lufkin, TX

2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurt Vegt
West Olive, MI

2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Koslicki
Renton, WA

2/24/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Mathews
Butler, NJ

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

joseph moore
paris, TX

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL NEWLIN
French Lick, IN

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Leahy
Thousand Oaks, CA

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cynthia G Gwinn
Lees Summit, MO

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

patrick Sidoti
easton, PA

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Bevill
Knoxville, TN

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tonya Roberts
Valders, WI

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DeWayne McCave
Williamsburg, MI

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

robert pyburn
ft. meade, FL

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Dyke
Oxford, MS

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Hergenroeder
Stockton, CA

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Adkins
Radford, VA

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janie Johnson
Florence, MS

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Maus
East Palatka, FL

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christian Beattie
Kailua, HI

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joel Rader
Madison, TN

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Shroeder
Springfield, VA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pat Pelnarsh
pontiac, IL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Mcgee Sr.
Hasting, FL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bernadine Barker
Keosauqua, IA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Watson
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

debbie hart
Winters, CA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Maire-Preciosa Vilorio
Long Beach, CA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laurence McKeever
Pearl River, NY

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BOB WHEELDON
Lake Charles, LA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Farris
Hillsville, VA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ikechukwu Igbokwe
Fontana, CA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carlene Carmen
Conway, SC

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Debbie Payne
Reynolds Station, KY

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Fraser
OCALA, FL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Khalil Hobeiche
Dallas, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Davis
GrantsPass, OR

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bernadette DiAntonio
Tulsa, OK

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kevin Crawford
Midland, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerome Gonzales
Inglewood, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Ames
Las Vegas, NV

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bobby Howerton
Visalia, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Butler
Spring, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kolemmainen
Fort Myers, FL

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben Price
Savannah, GA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wilson Six
Stanton, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Turner
Covington, GA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Pryor
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOE BIANCHI SR
Lemon Grove, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Ingram
West Palm Beach, FL

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Brooks
Gonzales, LA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Wright
Oroville, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Art Maestas
Spearman, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Neal
Piedmont, SC

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Schlichting
New Milford, CT

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Butch Twele
Memphis, TN

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laawrence Fitzkee
Warwick, NY

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda C Spence
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rozenberg
houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Puckett
Spring, VT

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Sherrill
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Mercer
Tyler, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Rickert
Urbandale, IA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Galen Evans
Spring, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Lombardi
Brooklyn, NY

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Craig Gaines
Tulsa, OK

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MerriLee Colvin
enterprise, UT

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Antinoro
Nashua, NH

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Elliott
Fontana, CA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald mcdaniel
Morristown, TN

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Smithgall
Wellsboro, PA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allan Burke
Franklin, TN

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Kozera
Groveport, OH

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DON PATTON
Redmond, OR

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Liermann
Fredonia, WI

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Grabowski
West Babylon, NY

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Gallegos, Sr.
Auburn Hills, MI

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brandi Hodges
Greeley, CO

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rex Grothusen
Scott City, KS

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

frank mcnamara
Smithville, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Jungers
Elgin, IL

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Morrissey
Woodbridge, VA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Rampersant
Summerville, SC

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

russell schmidt
grandview, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tawn Harshall
Butler, PA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Clark
Lake Jackson, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

nico ratsma
Eustis, FL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Kramer
Chino, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Breezee
El Paso, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Gallet
Abbeville, LA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Harris-Zinn
Oxnard, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Darlington
Morris, IL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Hopson
Altoona, IA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pam Youngblood
Littlestown, PA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Battcher
Lombard, IL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kuehn
Dayton, OH

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vonda Grey
Shelbyville, TN

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Butowicz
Jeannette, PA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Clayton
Tucson, AZ

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ellen Sliter
Medina, OH

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L. A. Jones
Clarksville, AR

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Ray
Kingwood, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edgar Carpenter
Henderson, NV

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Matthew Allshouse
Hahnville, LA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diane Wolf
New Berlin, WI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sara Byers
Grand Haven, MI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Hrubesky
Oconto, WI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john siebolds
nevis, MN

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Caton
Meeker, OK

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Dietrich
Richmond, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

robert booth
Taylorsville, UT

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Cutler
Tehachapi, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Mitchell
Dairy, OR

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

levi eidelman
brooklyn, NY

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

glen rehorn
Ralston, OK

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vincente Pichay
Norwich, CT

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Weddington
Daniel Island, SC

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lance Black
Forsyth, GA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Wright Jr.
Jesup, GA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Hahn
Omak, WA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ana Maria Padron
San Diego, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Martin
Nokomis, FL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Borden
Oshtemo, MI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joanne Tesoier
Lakewood Ranch, FL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Billy K.
Clifton Heights, PA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Scanlan
Fond du Lac, WI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shawn Arthur
Fort Worth, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gillian L'Epplattenier
Rindge, NH

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Unterschuetz
Ladysmith, WI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randall Becht
Mesa, AZ

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

benjamin lovesky
Providence, RI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Grenrood
Fort Collins, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susan Whitworth
Port Charlotte, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Politte
Casper, WY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Phillips
Kingfisher, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Wice
Pittsburgh, PA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton L Johnson
Mobridge, SD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Boyd
Cottondale, AL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vicki Tacker
Rogers, AR

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Besly
Bryan, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

albert johnson
North Port, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Adams
Hutchinson, KS

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Ogilvie
Livingston, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ric Carroll
Seabrook, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard watkins
Cañon City, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Forler
Hope Mills, NC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Fields
Sierra Vista, AZ

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Smithkey
Flushing, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bert Stewart
Bethany, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Rasor
McAlpin, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas wright
Altoona, PA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cora Brush
Corning, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James DesJardins
Negaunee, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Comport
Seattle, WA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Gitchel
Gill, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Inslee
Ada, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LIZ CARTIER
Valhalla, NY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

vicki egli
Watsonstown, PA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Brady
Post Falls, ID

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MARY PFENNIG
Absarokee, MT

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Hendricks
San Angelo, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dana B Williams
Trade, TN

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton Kennedy
Montgomery, AL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Gibbs
Silver City, NM

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John VanPatten
American Canyon, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Leppin
Weimar, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Kabat
Scheller, IL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Liikala
Sioux Falls, SD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gary mitcham
san antonio, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Nanney
Rowlett, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Stewart
Forney, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Giori
Arcata, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

guido bartalini
Perry, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN BECKER
Rosemount, MN

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Clayton
Wetumpka, AL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Kupiec
Kingston, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leon Sylvester
Calistoga, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hulsey
Gary, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Prince
Springdale, WA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol Schneider
Winter Park, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henry Coleman
Blairsville, GA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carla Graham
Marksville, LA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harvey Frantz
Modesto, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Ahern
Bowie, MD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Louis Hartfiel
Crescent Springs, KY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Sutter
Truckee, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Stipe
Moore, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william mattson
West Columbia, SC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Paveglio
Belfair, WA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DELAUN ROMIG
Apple Valley, MN

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Frick
Mount Zion, IL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Stevenson
Bakersfield, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clarence Rout
Penhook, VA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Nosich
Dowagiac, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patsy Desselles
Metairie, LA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Yoham
San Rafael, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ERIC SCHNABEL
BRISTOW, VA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Snyder
Bowling Green, OH

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Schlattmann
Kearney, NE

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Birch
Stratford, CT

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Maxwell
Belton, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

michael parrish
DeRidder, MD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Brockman
Batesville, AR

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MITCHELL BUCK
Grifton, NC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arlene Lichtenberger
Murrells Inlet, SC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN R. SR. CARTER
QUEEN CREEK, AZ

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol De Jacimo
Fort Myers Beach, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Moffitt`
Tulsa, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Chovanetz
Hallettsville, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John McCraw
Hawthorne, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Leo
New Canaan, CT

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Sr
MONROE, GA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Cottrell
Walnut Creek, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ole Hurst
Moss Beach, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruben Hernandez
Houston, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Johnston
Napa, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Ruch
Sheridan, OR

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Wolz
Bellevue, NE

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Debi Jones
Madisonville, KY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robin Conkel-hAnnan
Bradfordsville, KY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Wheeler
Red Bluff, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marlene Spicer
Grand Forks, ND

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Katrina Fernandez
Apollo Beach, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nessie Zitlau
Rigby, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Nelson
Wellington, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Juanita Howland
Lee's Summit, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Milich
West Bend, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenda Reagan
Chester, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Koonce
Baytown, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. L. Yonker
Prescott Valley, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Smith
Woodbridge, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

SARAH M. BARBOUR
Bellevue, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

sharon gilbreath
Mineola, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Vahling
Newton, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

marion quinton
miami, AZ, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gayle Smith
Lake Mary, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chris Hughes
Athens, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol Williamson
Marietta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Anderson
Calhoun, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Filoso
Mason, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Max Reeves
Elgin, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frances Luzynski
Canal Winchester, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Ward
Libby, MT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beverly Knapp
Naples, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Benedict
Lakewood, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judith Melton
Macomb, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Brouse
Wauwatosa, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susi Downs
Jacksonville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Karen Larson
Blaine, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russell Hall
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Lundrigan
Brimfield, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lester Troxel
Pittsburg, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Fontenot
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Kaiserman
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diana Brown
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nolan Rowald
Magnolia, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Lozano
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Craig
Warrenton, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Taylor
Beeville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

rick olson
Eagle Grove, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dean Marlinski
Buffalo, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

HANI JEREIS
YONKERS, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenroy Schissler
Rosedale, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Miller
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

anna karp
Norfolk, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Beach
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Embersics
Devers, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Rodriguez
Gilroy, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Howard Roberts
Alva, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clark Elliott
Guthrie, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

steven anderson
Summerville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Stokes
Chattanooga, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Penny Stierle
Burney, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Paliotta
East Syracuse, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chester Stafford
Clovis, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Spencer
Riverton, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Fulton
Alamogordo, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Gisler
Northern Cambria, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Siler
Merlin, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Noel Elser
Bryan, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JeraldineRyan Ryan
Glendale, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oscar Hernandez
Milford, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

SCOTT FORBES
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

woody Starnes
waxhaw, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ralph Berres
Plymouth, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Martin
Florence, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dean Bowen
Evanston, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

chris donatelli
Macungie, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alice Deperna
Dunnellon, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David McClimate
Sahuarita, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Walton
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

james marsden
Oakdale, CT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Hawkins
Shady Side, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Wirts
Livermore, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PAUL WILLIAMS
Willow, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jodi Swartz
Santa monica, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Wolff
Fortson, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carole Anderson
Marshall, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Ansel
Brunswick, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Stuber
Encinitas, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Berns
King George, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joan Rickerson
Douglas, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alphonse Cerreto
Woodbridge Township, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gregory spears
ASHLAND, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Melody Bissell
Dayton, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

christine McClure
Grand Marais, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Anderson
Conneaut, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

TERRY GATES
Marengo, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Biondo
Bedford, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LARRY BIEGEL
Crown Point, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jared Freeman
Greenbush, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Mathews
Melbourne, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Guiles
Yarnell, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Anderson
Statesville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Pyle
Hampton, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

michael rivera
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Brace
Clayton, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rachel Erikson
De Witt, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Smart
Glenview, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

frank coscarello
Parsippany-Troy Hills, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Phillips
Rochester, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Clemans
Madison, MS

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Baines
Southington, CT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Morero
Buckeye, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nathanael Carr
Hickory, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward & Gloria Stowe
Torrington, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Steward
East Berlin, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jess Hudnall
Olathe, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Redman
Galena, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Ross Taplett
Culpeper, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Daniels
Elmira, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laurie Bluth
Temple, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald G Bruner
Batavia, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard Bird
Roslyn Heights, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john tithof
Mount Pleasant, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Keel
Vidor, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Woody Quackenbush
Anchorage, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Pearson
Boston, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Kellock
Long Beach, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Baer
Aiken, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

al fowler
Euless, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Swarthout
Wysox, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Barnes
South Fork, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

FREDERICK LANKFORD
Miami, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lambros
Jacksonville, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Josie Hale
Tallahassee, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James and Diane Hamilton
Piney Flats, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raul Solis
Mission, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Zabel
St. Louis, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cole
Midland, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Moon
Sandy Lake, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john thurman
Aztec, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cheri Baird
Kingsport, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Ruppert
Pickens, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

angela vareltzis
Goose Creek, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Hight
Corbin, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcia Schmidt
Green Bay, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ivan Woolf
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kirt and Glenna Bell
Glen Rose, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bert Cunningham
Bowie, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PATRICK KUBEJA
Meadville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Dupuis
Friendswood, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Loretta Erses
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Schoch
Clinton, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Pepper
Tatum, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Cooper
San Jacinto, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Cullen
Sun City Center, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Melocik
Southlake, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

david teichmann
Ocala, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Matt Kiel
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James A Downing
Amelia, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael, Joan, William Ryan
Oceanside, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Loving
Gatesville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brien Perkins
Irving, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Paul
San Marcos, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Cotton
Homer, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Livingston
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Albert Geisendorff
Kerrville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Henderson
San Bruno, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BOB MANNING
Boise, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Win Smith
Henderson, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Nelson
Wellington, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Franklin
Lafayette, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Wolf
Coral Springs, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Adrian
Prior Lake, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Peck
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jan Carlson
Marianna, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Olyowski
Wake Forest, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Genet
Santa Paula, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

barbara meyer
St. Louis, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William C. Davidson
Wapakoneta, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ann Taylor
Brush Prairie, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Shepperson
Dover, DE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Louis Kopf
Auburn, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jo Trujillo
Indian Head Park, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Eiken
Delano, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Sexton
Summerville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Cox
Irving, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Boggs
Charlotte, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA ALBRIGHT
Greenwood, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Drew
Chichester, NH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Woolford
Clayton, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. W. Garner
Woodburn, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Maria Walton
Pensacola, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William L. Beavers
Miami, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jean Crawley
Stanley, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virginia Ward
Martinsburg, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Madden
New Braunfels, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Johnson
Saucier, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Sheman
Littlestown, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Ely
Odessa, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ed Drinkwine
Waunakee, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Cherry
Trapper Creek, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Carli
League City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

catherine b jenkins
Odessa, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Wilde
Geneva, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Seitz
Fayetteville, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Foltz
Tinicum Township, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wesley Hamm
Lexington, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

TOM HARDIN
CHARLOTTE, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LaVerne King
McDonald, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Ingalls
Herndon, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bernard Bong
Huron, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barnes Moore
Freehold Township, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

paul harmon
belfair, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Callaway
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CAROL Hornback
Shepherdsville, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Neal Treadwell
Las Vegas, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

lewis black
Blanding, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Simone
Port Washington, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rusty Perkins
Lubbock, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Novella Adoue
Rye, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Gross
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William H Hedges
Austin, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Shaw
Sarasota, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LEE LINTNER
Peoria, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Eidson
Cadiz, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Oliver
Martin, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anton J. Andersen
Fremont, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Winifred Marshall
Rancho Viejo, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Plaquet
Fairbanks, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Gibbs
Monroe, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Fillingim
Pensacola, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Palombi
Boulder, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurtiss Olson
Laveen, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Strock
Napa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Williams
Mount Juliet, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peter Hunt
Kennett Square, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Tullbane
McAllen, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald J. Hahnke
Eau Claire, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Simpson
Eupora, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Garten
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Hyde
Astoria, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Norm Meaker
Glen Allen, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Fox
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Porcella
Modesto, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN BAKER
Russiaville, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Arrington
Dumas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Jones
Ovilla, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard rasnick
Bristol, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcus Huey
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Floyd Watts
Wayne, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. L. Robb
Hockley, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Belinda Saxon
Millen, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randal Landry
Abbeville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

wilfred Eckles
Las Vegas, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Francis
Chico, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Franklin Taylor
Shreveport, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shane Christensen
Sanger, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Perdue
Fort Worth, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Kepler
Willow Street, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brian Swenson

,

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tivis Parker
Centereach, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Downing
Belmont, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ted & Carol Staudacher
Lake Leelanau, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Campbell
Sun Valley, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ALBERT DEWALL
Castle Rock, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Cottle
Raleigh, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Claudine Selden
San Augustine, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Gilbert
Orangevale, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Starla Haynes
New Castle, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Roth
Newcastle, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Holicka
Troy, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Phillips
Troy, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

vernon Smith
Nicholasville, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Brandt
Franklin, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald E Putnam
Leland, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Haygood
Sulphur Springs, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Woods
Greenwich, CT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

D. R. Tuffy Butler
Norfolk, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas McMurry
Jamul, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lyford
Pasadena, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lane Moreland
Belle Plaine, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

kenneth Cosby
Wake Village, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Petrakian
Milford, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Hahn
Chelsea, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ted Renner
Dickinson, ND

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doyle Whitesel
Hot Springs, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Wickline
Wimauma, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Prettyman
Glen Allen, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

craig buckey
Mountain Top, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dean Cobb
Stockton, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Lane
Oklahoma City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ann Kearns
Athens, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresita Lain
Miami, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Bruner
Riverton, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

carol buckey
mountain Top, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

lloyd osmun
Nazareth, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Daniel Billingsley
Elkhorn, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laura FitzGerald
Shreveport, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN KIDD
Lindsay, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Mendoza
Glenview, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Bandy
Frederick, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Crawford
Bella Vista, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Hall
Chicago, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tommy Holton
Fort Lupton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

patricia kennedy
Hurricane, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rosejoy Stagg
Pineville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Fagersten
Kitty Hawk, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Lawrence
Stafford, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Kusterman
Kissimmee, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L C Pruitt
Cleveland, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Henderson
Lexington, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dewey Roof
McArthur, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bert Conner
Payson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Knapp
wellsboro, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Yale
Santa Ana, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rengstorf, SMSgt, USAF, Ret
Windsor, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Moreland
princeton, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Hoyt
Winton, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roseanne Price
Lebanon, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Evens
Sutherlin, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tracey Wells
Indian Head, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

naomi wynne
Hawthorne, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Irvin Harvey
Huntsville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duncan McArthur
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Sperber
Lake Charles, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Loreman
York, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Franks
Sebastopol, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Shrope
Lawrenceville, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mari-Lynn Slayton
St. Paris, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kent Mueller
Costa Mesa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Hetler
Fremont, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher seaver
Houston, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Shalna
S. Weymouth, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

FREDA WHALEN
TEWKSBURY, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

karen miller
georgetown, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Scott
Alexandria, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Oxenhorn
Naples, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Felts
Edwardsville, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Clifford
Spring Hill, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Johnson
La Grande, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Maxon
Loleta, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Yung
Middleburgh, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Rose
Ellisville, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barry Muldrey Sr
Metairie, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lynette Lewis
Springfield, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

joan wood
Osage Beach, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hermene Barthold
Forest Lake, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Brundin
Sioux Falls, SD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Steiner
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andre\ Fournet
New Orleans, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Stout
Little Rock, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Baker
Milan, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Thomasson
Mayfield Heights, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Powers
Dripping Springs, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Marion
Puyallup, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Gettel
Long Prairie, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Quetta Woodard
Bakersfield, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chuck Wilson
Cut Bank, MT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danielle McClure
Irmo, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Hammel
Greendale, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Julius Sternfels
Thibodaux, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susan Peters
Warrior, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shereen Gillette
Raleigh, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shelia Surges
Bealeton, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Runge
Seymour, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Philip Haddad
Scottsdale, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Oellerich, PhD
Athens, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mikel Conner
Johnson City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joan McEachern
Yorkville, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marissa Skaja
Minneapolis, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Loffelmacher
Rockford, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Davis
Lewiston, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clyde Chisholm
Baton Rouge, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Chambers
Clark Fork, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Aurelio Vizcarra
Carson, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wallace Angles
Bluefield, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JASON BUCKWHEAT
Ocala, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terrance Traylor
Scottsdale, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Withers
Aurora, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Kibitz
West Olive, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Stanley
Mooresville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Timothy Popp
Missouri City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Evert Kooy
Moses Lake, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Lisle
Point, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

judy hatlevig
Friendship, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Nichols
Commodore, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Murlowski
Saint Paul, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janelle Murlowski
Minneapolis, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Lowder
McKinney, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Petschke
Saint Paul, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ernie McLoney
Verona, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Gilbert
Buxton, ME

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Anderson
Sigourney, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

raymond woodham
Santa Rosa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Pearce
San Diego, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul VanLeer
Elmer, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Andrix
Blissfield, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

charlotte fischer
Rancho Cordova, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Fowler
Bryan, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

cynthia jones
North Richland Hills, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Berry
Melrose, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Hart
Mound, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Wright
Iuka, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Ramsey
Las Vegas, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary McCormick
Springview, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stacy Martindale
Walnut Creek, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Schwiderski
Henry, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Dobesh
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Donovan
Chicago, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Arturi
Depoe Bay, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leslie Warren
Memphis, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Sumpter
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Gall
Hendersonville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Felicetti
Sea Isle City, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Carsrud
New Braunfels, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Fast
Littleton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Earl Grunden
Springfield, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brad Craig
Salida, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wendy Tarrant
Santee, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Zehr
Gretna, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Seth Cottrell
Sioux City, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Liendo
Corpus Christi, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rita Jane Smith
Felda, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Neal Keller
Fleming Island, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

G.Vern Yates
Vasant, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Brickhouse
Columbia, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Luxton
Washington, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Slomian
Monroe Twp., NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Estes
Pana, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Offerdahl
Stoughton, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Flint
Boise, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

h.melvin bigler
jacobsburg, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Debra Woerndell
Valley Mills, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Ray
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thaddeus moore
Elmer City, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Vernotzy
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MARC DEVILLERS
Albrightsville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Poorman
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alfred Bishop
Mico, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kaaren Atchison
Snohomish, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Boyer
Anaheim, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Noren
Pequot Lakes, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Donati
Hickory, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Hartmann
East Chicago, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Irene Mcnish
Sun Prairie, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Heraty
San Diego, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

G. Gurney
Point Roberts, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Bulna
Gibsonia, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Theodore Glende
Rochester, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Magers
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Scase
London, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Bjornson
Cape Coral, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gibson
Southgate, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Huber
Salt Lake City, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Grbski
Champlin, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Steele
Idaho Falls, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcus Goldman
Magnolia, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Sparano
Laguna Niguel, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Janssen
Moreno Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Lehtonen
Rocky Point, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Erik Somirs
Mansfield, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Leiss
Sedalia, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diane Armstrong
Heber-Overgaard, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Fleisher
Tyler, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

david nixon
Medina, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Nelson
Eugene, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lloyd
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Knapp
Wellsboro, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry White
Byron Center, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Thomas
Carlton, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dana Wood
Humble, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eliece Rybak
Tyler Hill,, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Cleveland, Esq
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wanda Whyte
Huntsville, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Barrett
Hopatcong, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

S White
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marlin Cotter
Seminary, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Ottesen
Chula Vista, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mildred Espy
Carlsbad, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gordon Huls
Lincoln, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glen Bosma
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Kennedy
Puyallup, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dennis jay
Washington, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Bajada
Los Angeles, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Kohls
Manitowoc, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andy Withers
Raleigh, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Kortsch
Madison, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sheila Wylie
Casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard bunnell
Gainesville, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oralia Perez
Elsa, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rod Nugent
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Murphy
Oakhurst, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Baker
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DAVID HEINZ
Lake Geneva, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Follari
Hayesville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brad Bakalyar
Medford, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william soutar
Brighton, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Hemshrodt
Clear Spring, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Winston Harriott
Laurel, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Coffelt
Oklahoma City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas ware
Muncie, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nikki Sims
Casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Walker
Cadiz, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Luthringer
Lakeside, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth GUnter
Seaford, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Warmerdam
Arlington, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Hauck
Shelbyville, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Gilliam
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Garrett Matheron
Cheektowaga, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Earl C Brewer
Wilkesboro, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dottie Harm
Dayton, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Azelton
Wallace, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Bullington
Florence, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Thompson
Thousand Oaks, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Heskett
Clinton, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Powell
Amenia, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Pelger
Reno, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Walker
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dan geier
Reno, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Young
Gentry, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Aron Hanson
Homer, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gilberto Pazo
Naperville, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Huteson
Los Angeles, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Landrum
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. Wayne Adams
Blackfoot, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Foster
Cheyenne, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Trainor
Tulsa, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Berrian
Schenectady, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Kain
Bolivia, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Ursini
Bradenton, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Erwin B Wingfield
Leesburg, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Ikerd
Santa Paula, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stan Dempsey
Golden, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sheila Charter
Casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Angela Shank
Howard, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

sally griffith
Sumter, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Crain
Wolfeboro, NH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leo J. Schwartz III
SAN ANTONIO, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jean Bartholomew
Mebane, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Buckner
Bradley, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

jim geier
Atlanta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Willis
Blue Ridge, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Louis Jaccard
Edwardsville, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Desch
Gig Harbor, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul McIntire
Meeker, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duane Lennartson
Crosslake, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Gray
Longview, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

robert barklage
Waynesboro, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Doetzer
Lake George, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Termini
Temecula, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Marmande
Houma, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruth Nester
Rock Rapids, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Celentano
Bronx, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Smith
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kevin Sago
Labadie, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerri Kurdila
Trenton, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gwen Mdyer
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Embke
Neillsville, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Crippen
Dufur, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Dovey
Wheatland, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

clark buisker
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eric Zolner
Summerville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shelly Cook-Cowden
Farmington, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Truette
Fayetteville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Jreij
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Loretta G. Box
Buchanan Dam, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Harrington
Toledo, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Dennison
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Morgensen
Scotts Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Wright
Everett, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM BRAY
Sabinsville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Lumsden
Franklin, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Victor Bagley
Elliston, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard Turner
Smithville, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Ruf
De Pere, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Dunleavy
Aurora, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Anderson
Hereford, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Pedersen
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patsy Bratcher
Abilene, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Lyons
Grass Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Howard
Crosby, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Wolensky Wolensky
Hyde park, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Gronlie
HOT SPRINGS NATIONAL PARK, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virginia Woodburn
St. Cloud, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Bippen
Florissant, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROBBIE TEEUWEN
PILLAGER, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Yates
Longmont, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dayna Roy
Galveston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dallas Ezra Haynes
Monroe, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wesley Wyatt
Bastrop, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Bahlburg
Plano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Burwell
Morristown, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virgil Rittenhouse
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

TJ Greenwood
Midland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Peterson
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Fullmer
Lutcher, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Wingate
Lafayette, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Midtvedt
Alexandria, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Muskovitz
Mayville, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Connour
Heyworth, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kim Wyatt
Bastrop, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Trapp
Pleasanton, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Picchiotti
Fairfield, ME

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tammy Dyer
Killeen, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roland Womack
Hilliard, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George McDaniel
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Keechi
Oklahoma City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Earl Manning
Pocahontas, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Leinfelder
Dawson, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Spencer
Livonia, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dick Simpson
Surprise, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dee Epps
Hayesville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Roberts
Lantana, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas gili
west babylon, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CHARLOTTE BELDEN
Toledo, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Jacobson
Blaine, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nick Dunse
Taunton, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beverly Worcester
La Habra, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Bradner
Bath Township, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hollister
Oceanside, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Ormond
North Myrtle Beach, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nelson Alandt
Vancouver, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary K Meisner
Doylestown, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Tiner
Mariposa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hall
Marion, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Henderson
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LARRY&VA WEIGUM SR
St. Joseph, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rasure
Rattan, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

KEITH HUNDERTMARK
Westminster, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Baker
Whiteland, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Dow
Sarasota, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ginger Serpas
Metairie, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joan Schneider
Bradenton, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Ferguson
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Charlson
Surfside Beach, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Cartmill
Midwest City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

C. Smith
Palm Beach Gardens, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charlie Lyons
Greenville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Warren LeBoeuf
Houma, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Druge
Bradenton, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Morgan
Grass Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Strutko
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Young
Gentry, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald L Crusan
Rillton, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

kenneth ott
Bowman, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alan Brown
Mustang, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Stapleton
Fairview, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Velvet Hefner
Chelsea, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dianne Smith
Groesbeck, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

lesley throup
Montgomery, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Hornquist
Eau Claire, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Reese
Sherrills Ford, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Boris Shneyder
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROBERT RENFROW
Sandia, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Fales
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phiane Sayarad
Richmond, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Seal
Marietta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillipe Violette
Hudson, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pam Carlson
Cleburne, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Barry
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Morton
Muleshoe, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lynn Chaney
Oceanside, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Mallinckrodt
Chicago, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JENIFER O'CONNOR
STATE COLLEGE, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Preston
Prichard, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

floyd herbert
Schleswig, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Rees
Monaca, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

bernie waterbeck
Garwin, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nina m
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Campbell
Cottonwood, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

doug scheidegger
kingsville, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danny Benoit
Vinton, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Coffee
Burbank, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Schweitzer
West Des Moines, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

charlie Rickborn
Lyons, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BUB SAFRIT
Harrisburg, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Hefner
Chelsea, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Lohfink
SLIDELL, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JAMES GREEN
Frisco, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth McGee
Ponca City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Amber Chambers
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Victor Wesselhoft
Bristol, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

brian scofield
Addison, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JAMES GRIBBLE
ROGERS, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sharon Tomas
Edna, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Nelson
De Kalb, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Scott
Missouri City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rita Brunell
Plattsburgh, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Knudson
Naples, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Quirk
Rancho Cordova, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Monita Olive
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mitzi De Nell Lannom
Gallatin, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Woods
Rapid City, SD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Melissa Manuel
Kinder, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Pesek
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marion Spiers
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kerry Cole
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Stuart
Thornton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Aberdeen
Atlanta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerome Jacobsmeyer
St. Louis, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Goebel
Battle Ground, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russ Grove
Dillsburg, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Holly Russell
Rockford, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Garrett
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henry Kadoch
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hebeda
Surprise, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Wilson
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Swett
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ed Ginter
Fort Thomas, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Hall
Casa Grande, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Fish
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chuck Jones
Bryant, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

carl decker
Norfolk, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Brubaker
Hollywood, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob DeMell
Willoughby, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Cavanaugh
Winchester, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Brown
New York, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Sellers
Conway, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Tust
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

rodney rooks
Luray, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd VanDyke
Great Valley, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas White
Punta Gorda, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

mark standre
grafton, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Shaffar
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Bond
Essex, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

craig smith
casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Mease
Chester Springs, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sherry Neumann
Bellaire, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Samuel Beckham
Midland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Lemieux
McKinney, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ross Cooper
Howell, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Aurand
Johnstown, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rickey Wilson Boles
Mountain Home, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Garland
Bethel Park, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Rockwell
Troy, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Thoen
Watertown, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Royce Cupps
Stephenville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hon. & Mrs. Paul Deis, Esq. III
Anaheim, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Liz Ellis
Llano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Philip Crayton
Carrollton, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peggy Swineheart
Mannford, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hoyt Clevenger
Liberty, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Natasha Harp
Tulsa, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Roth
Plano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL PARROTT
WICHITA, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Kershaw
Shrewsbury, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy McEntire
Lubbock, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton Chancellor
Northport, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Nicholson
Warrenton, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lydia White
Flowery Branch, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

luther marshall
Cortlandt Manor, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LUSHONDRA GLOVER
HUMBLE, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Luke Coderre
Orange City, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janette Stodola
Savage, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Mcleod
Lucedale, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Saenz
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Wismer
Williamsburg, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Booker
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

jerry beasley
Snyder, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henny DeRoo
Holland, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Helen Cole

,

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sam Vazquez
Seminole, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carlton Henry
Lenoir, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gordon Woods
Bridgeport, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Ladd
Washington, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

F.N. Ted Barker
Grand Isle, VT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ernest Sharron
North Brookfield, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dennis wunder
Crossville, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Schorlemer
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Camille Noonan
Murrells Inlet, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danny Mounce
Mebane, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doris Konduros
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Karnecki
Gainesville, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Devin Fitzgerald
Marietta, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Allman
Johnson City, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Daniel Fels
Apollo Beach, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Rodriguez
houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Wehmeier
Franklin, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Demetra Apostolou
Kingston, NH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Craig Schmidt
Vancouver, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Comfort
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Connie Cammack
Humble, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chance Deere
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jose Zuniga
Kingwood, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Ganner
Palm Harbor, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Reiff
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Carroll
Saugerties, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Kurtz
St.Croix Falls, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA ARMISTEAD
Columbus, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benton Kelly
Castle Rock, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ANDREW PILIHOS
Pascagoula, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peter Danese
Franklin Lakes, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laron Allen
Hiawassee, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Majthenyi
Scarsdale, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Twyla Tranfaglia
Fredericksburg, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Freeman
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Ulrich
Ridgway, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

herman mueller
Waukegan, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DENNIS GALBREATH
Seminole, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Coate
Freeburg, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rob Beecham
Sewickley, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Deshotels
Opelousas, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carroll Rogers
La Grange, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rockford Thorpe
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred London
Plainfield, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hope Rodriguez
Holland, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paula Jezich
West Plains, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard DeGiglio
Ringwood, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sherry Soltis
Sparta, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James T. Handy
Woolwine, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Reed
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bollom
Santa Fe, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Attila Revesz
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carole Harmon
Edgewood, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john zeno
El Reno, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Jett
Toledo, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lynn Beale
valencia, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Marino
Mount Laurel, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Beth Schumacher
New Philadelphia, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brett Powell
Sacramento, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Scott
Tyler, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paula Strahm
Brookings, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Darling
Longs, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Putz
Webster, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN WOOD
Woodbury, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Neff
Drexel Hill, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laura Ketcham
St. Augustine, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Parker, Jr
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

HARRIETT smith
Huntsville, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Bundy
Saint Joseph, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

tim harrel
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Worley
Clinton, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vicky Sexton
Canyon, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carroll Maxwell
McKinney, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Asper
Ramah, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lois Belveal
Kuna, ID

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randol Woodie
Hickory, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Collins
Worthington, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Sommers
Hammond, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Clark
Camdenton, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Drake
Prescott, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CINDY DALTON
HENRICO, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

nina patrick
Fort worth, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oneta Henry
PORT BOLIVAR, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judi Holmes
Elk Grove, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william brown
Seal Beach, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Muscato
Huachuca City, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kim R. Peters
Las Vegas, NV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Mingo
Covina, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carmilla Malgren
Round Rock, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Volesky
Castle Rock, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Dannheim
Greenwood Lake, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Paradis
Apalachin, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Trina Engels
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathy B. Smith
Rocky Mount, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doc Morris
Baton Rouge, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

paul kelly
newman, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Mulvihill
Charlottesville, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

joseph gentile
Branford, CT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jan Huffman
Newton Upper Falls, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Safwat Abdelsayed
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clarence Wagner
Tawas City, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Nonn
Campbellsport, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cameron
Pearce, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Smith
Montgomery, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Crane
Martinsville, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hugh Brown
Rockford, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Garry Burgett
Ashland, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

scott curtis
Montclair, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

michael Esposito
Barnegat Township, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

kathy means
grove city, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joel Frye
Ocala, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sione Fisiiahi
Palo Alto, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Herbert Wooten
Crystal Springs, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Woehrle
Columbus, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russ Toell
Farmingdale, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russell Sherrick
Lucasville, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Hieronymus
Granite Bay, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Boenig
Louisa, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Keaough
Wellman, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tony Paskali
Nashua, NH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Bartlett
Southlake, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Colleen Rominger
Carbondale, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Sawyer
Chino, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert VonLehe
Lyons, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lyle Niswander
Indialantic, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Pardue II
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eva Corbett
Aberdeen, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas D\Amico
Buras, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Cave
Wichita, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Philip Whitaker Jr
York, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael P McAleenan
Collierville, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Fitzgerald
Burlington, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hartley
Owensboro, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beth Washburn
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton Highhouse
Belleville, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Vogrin
Downers Grove, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Farmer
Snohomish, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Harder
Sierra Vista, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lonnie Webber
San Antonio, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruth Dickie
Artemas, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Elliott
Yakima, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adrian Stringer
Visalia, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Everett Haggin
Roseville, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Neubauer
Dearborn, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Stearns
Centennial, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Samuel Chapman
Conway, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pauline Elton
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peter Lopacki
Plainfield, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Nelson
Smiths Creek, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael McBride
Allentown, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Grazyna Janus
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Rice
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susan Misa
Hop Bottom, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henry Buck
Lawrence, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cy Aures
Morgantown, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Schmidt
Wesley Chapel, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Cunningham
Dallas, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Schneider
Littleton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

wendell coker
Stonewall, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Haberland
Westcliffe, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

NW Botting
Florissant, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Mohrlang
Parachute, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Syms
Watertown, SD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lary Larson
Dagsboro, DE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Lanz
Wickenburg, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shane Sturgeon
Rolla, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Hrubesky
Bend, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wendell Roquemore
Odessa, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vicki Countz
Roberts, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Tucker
Greenville, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Southard
Atoka, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Garmon
Adrian, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Stanton
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John & Rose Orr
Burkesville, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Miller
Woolwich, ME

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Warren Parr
Harker Heights, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Lunceford
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stanley Ziobro
Clemmons, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Flick
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Hall
Parachute, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arlen VanAlstyne
parker, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Johnson
Odessa, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adrianna Spitler
Midlothian, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ron matile
Berea, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

carol griffin
North Tonawanda, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edwin Dawson
Fryeburg, ME

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne St. John

,

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larryu Glick
Perry, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Mandracchia
Davenport, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wendell Newman
Salem, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rogers
Austin, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hilliard
Sugar Land, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Phillips
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Karen K Madsen
Clovis, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Kelly
Florissant, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ALAN DECHTER
NEWTON, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Ragsdale Sr.
Manchester, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Podgwaite
No. Haven, CT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ieland long
Saratoga, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert R. Buzzell
Kalispell, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Wilkins
Rio Rancho, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tracy Ruggles
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hensley
Dallas, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Brooks
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judi Morella
Lansing, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Illian
Crossville, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Nawrocki
Livonia, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas bowen
Grafton, NH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Cothren
Decatur, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pat Murphy
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Romero
Breux Bridge, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Harriger
DuBois, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alan Buttram
Oronogo, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gayle Holste
Frankfort, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bryan Crawford
mittchellville, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dayton Moses
Lake Hamilton, AR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Libby
Gwinn, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Rye
Preston, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Drysdale
Nipomo, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chuck Polley
Santa Cruz, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ann Brannan
Lizella, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phil Robinson
Gainesville, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerri K Bartels
Fort Wayne, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

B. R. Kissman
Richmond, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Salsbury
Belleville, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Castrechino
Rochester, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcie Dreyer
Santa Fe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

jJoe B. Lorance
Green Valley, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Allred
Stroud, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Timmerman
Stuart, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Floyd Radebaugh
Marion, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robin Haney
New Castle, DE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lee
Clifton Forge, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ken links
Equinunk, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cristina Kirby
Stephenville, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Wrihyt
Martinez, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Dougherty
Binghamton, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Renee de la Fuente
Peoria, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Sutro
Aspen, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fran Stefka
Saint Cloud, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Mensch
Pittsburgh, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randolph Murdock
Moline, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michelle Potter
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Versical
Harrison Township, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

edward jensen
Elk River, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Schooley
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Matson
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Davison
Foxboro, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Deiser
Salina, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Monte Williams
Missoula, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L Pierce
Parkersburg, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Juerg Aeschbach
San Francisco, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Clark
Rolla, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jay Ohmann
Zephyrhills, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Richardson
Bristol, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cliff Day
South Bend, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

james canzonetta
Cortland, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Cook
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Mellette
Woodstock, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Holland
Wadsworth, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Tharpe
bellaire, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

david roberston
ada, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

paul cerny
Coshocton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard Jack Robbins
Denison, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LINDA Geeding
Anderson, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Richmond
Beckley, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Sandel
Granbury, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patric Gibson
Milton, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Wakefield
Meridian Charter Township, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Sherman
Eau Claire, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard bedard
league city, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stanley Black
Brooklyn, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arlie Daniels
Sitka, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Jordan
Vero Beach, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Merrell Crumley
San Angelo, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tony Seale
Glendale, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sherry Long
Parachute, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laurie Layne
Buckley, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Grace Nowak
Luray, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY LEACHMAN
LOUISVILLE, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Chase
Marietta, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bryan Graciana
Broussard, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Carlisle
Kelseyville, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George and Carol Latourette
Port Jervis, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Morris
Fayette, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brett Erickson
Humboldt, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Hodge
Summerville, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony CONTE
Winchester, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pamela Rutkowski
Grosse Ile Township, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James peters
Brooksville, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack M. Gandolfo
Mandeville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Grogan
Lufkin, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Valerie Dowden
Kokomo, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Van Grunsven
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Thomas
Monroe, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

rodger johnson
Morehead City, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Nickerson
Elkhart, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dennis donat
Watertown, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Files
Portland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PAT CHRISTENSEN
Bartlesville, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kent Holman
Bartlesville, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Raney
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Evan Lasky
Dalton, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Morris
Bellaire, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry VanRiper
Farmington, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Capt. Chas. A. Stocking
Accord, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chris Taylor
Fletcher, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Funderburg
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Davis
Arcadia, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

AL MYREN
Milton, ND

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ralph Perkins
Winnabow, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Barnhart
West Alexandria, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresa Aultman-Eisenbeisz
Arlington, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Ann Warwas
Nordheim, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

IT Phinney
Canton, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

THOMAS HALSEY
Greensboro, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Utrup
Soldotna, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Carroll
Parrish, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Reynolds
Kathleen, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PATRICK HARRISON
Springfield, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Wellington
Abilene, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hunter Rome
Washington, DC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Howard Wood
Raleigh, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Babb
Las Cruces, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Toops
New London, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Franklin Coffman
Elizabethtown, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curtis Johnson
Meridian, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Hooper
Fort Walton Beach, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Spencer
Wesley Chapel, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Baxter
New Martinsville, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Walker
Sand Springs, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Bailey
Port Charlotte, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Bagby
Picayune, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Stepp
Omaha, NE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Steffy
Dayton, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

THERESA McBride
Hamilton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Juan Silva
Altamonte Springs, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Deborah O'Hart
Seneca, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Heather Clover
Thayne, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smejkal
De Pere, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ross Henning
Tomball, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Heinz Gf. Matuschka
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Hunter
Mount Airy, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Lindsey
Goldthwaite, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Myron Shemek
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Beeney
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Julia Lewis

,

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary and Teresa James
Weston, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Timothy O'Connell
Portland, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Thompson
Tyler, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Young
Chesterfield, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Detiveaux
Bossier City, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Ward
Henrico, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Bancroft
Mead, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Metcalfe
Mount Vernon, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Abell
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bobby Fletcher
Farmerville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Whitehead
Tucker, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Ball
Efland, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Haney
Pedro, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Fougerousse
Greenwood, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Metcalf
Marina, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

mark zimmerman
Nekoosa, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jill Kozur
Garnet Valley, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JUAN SANTANA
Weston, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rob Bussell
Anchorage, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roderick Snyder
Lake Jackson, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Pipp
Jamestown, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

RODNEY IRWIN
Bismarck, ND

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Montie Young
Mont Belvieu, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jay Paul Smith
St. Martinville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Randolph
Galatia, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Dunn
Mound, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Gardner
STEVENSVILLE., MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ernie Jennings
Tipton, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Powers
Deale, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Holden
Norman, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bart Reynolds
Morgan City, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Billie Massie
West Salem, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Rangel
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Dahlstrom
Mechanicsville, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROCHELLE MARTIN
Flower Mound, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hefner
Edmond, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert M Stach Sr
Montgomery, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Pointon
Pennington, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John DeLuca
Plainfield, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harry Ameen
Deerfield Beach, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Greene
Willow Grove, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

chuck jaeger
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Wise
Richmond, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Angelo Yoder
The Woodlands, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edris Lambert
Whittier, CA

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Bonin
Houston, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jean Manson
Durango, CO

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruth Soisson
Houston, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

christi Crouch
Hamilton, OH

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

nancy shannon
Montrose, MI

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Margaret Copeland
Midland, MI

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L. Gary Tipton
Roswell, GA

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

HENRY KOSKI
ROCKPORT, MA

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Nellett
Muskegon, MI

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Griffin
Winnsboro, TX

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Riley
Spring Hill, TN

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john lentz
Hickory, NC

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Grace Sundling
Ann Arbor, MI

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Geraths Sr
San Antonio, TX

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Franklin Delano
Middleburg, FL

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

daniel lake
Wantagh, NY

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ALEX MAURICIO ZEPEDA
HOUSTON, TX

2/10/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Melanie Glatt
Palmer, AK

2/6/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

doug buckley
Knoxville, TN

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Lanzi
Reno, NV

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tammy Stegmann
Bradford, AR

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Ryan
Lake Grove, NY

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

antonio fonseca jr
Manchester Township, NJ

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alyce Allen
Vernal, UT

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Wheeler
Springfield, VA

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rob Runyan
Washington, DC

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Womack
Schriever, LA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mead Turner
The Woodlands, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandie Diamond
Conroe, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Millican
Evergreen, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurt Surbeck
Green River, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Frazey
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duane Fritz
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kay Adam
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Oslund
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Derick Adams
Vernal, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Austin Berlin
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kyle Medley
Price, UT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brian Lookabaugh
Athens, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Butler
Thornton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Marcell
Littleton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Grimes
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Janise
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Hansen
Cody, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tod Haanes
Thornton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurt Baughman
Rozet, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Ford
Henderson, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim large
Powell, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Bigley
Rock Springs, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tammi Hitt
Gillette, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kerri Damschroder
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Emely Bradley
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Del Wisler
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gary goins
Gillette, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Abston
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Matney
Thornton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janice Parks
Splendora, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeri Cox
Montgomery, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judith Singh
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Byrd
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Welchman
Littleton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Darlene Tyson
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Speyrer
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Vaja
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Boesch
Splendora, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lida Preston
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pat Wienke
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Bridges
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cory Weinbel
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hook
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pedro Marmol
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Lambert
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Falcetti
Vernal, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Heim
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christina France
Arvada, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alexander Wyche
Denver, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Wester
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Moody
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Micheal Green
Tupelo, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Syed Ali
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Feldman
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Marek
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ali Kashi
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Constantin Iancu
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Fielder
Chalfont, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Paiz
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Sarvaunt
Cleveland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

GREG LOCK
Kirtland, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tyler Blankemeyer
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

EMILEE MCMASTER
STEPHENVILLE, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Bennett
Houston, TX

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cameron Hackney
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Davies
Metairie, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ORLANDO GONZALES
La Porte, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Allen
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jude Taylor
Pasadena, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

suzanne OConnor
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

GEORGE LANG
Broussard, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Rief
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Guerrero
La Porte, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Craig Crim
Victoria, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Granger
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rufus Pevehouse
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Terrell
San Augustine, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hayes
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Dotson
Harvey, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Gann
Sugar Land, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Knight
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Graham
Henderson, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Ducote
Plaucheville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Lyon
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bikki
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Beale
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Goldsborough
Saginaw, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jose Garza
Zapata, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marvin Macip
Opelousas, LA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Trent Willis
Farmington, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Koetting
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

D. Kent Brown
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Brownell
Baton Rouge, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jae Theobald
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Zimmerman
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Parsons
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Irek Janus
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Webb
Houston, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Ratcliffe
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Paschall
Midland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Shaw
Ferndale, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Huckins
Wasilla, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robyn Elias
Sulphur, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Hazen
Anchorage, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen McDonald
Medford, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Pierce
Bayfield, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Dewey
Silverdale, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marlea Harmon
San Ramon, CA

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Poyzer
Victor, NY

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Watts
Tomball, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ryan Pitt
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Caskey
Frisco, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Smith
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eric Ren
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Aitken
Missoula, MT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Carlson
Marianna, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Doiron
Pearland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Eckstein
Bloomfield, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Beverly
Union Mills, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oscar Lozano
Bartlesville, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CLIFFORD BRICE
Connellsville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Brown
Reynoldsburg, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gregory maxey
Kingsley, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson
Santa Fe, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben Merendino
Shoreham, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul LeBeau
Orlando, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CHARLES MILLER
ROCKWELL CITY, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Pearce
Sheridan, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Kramer
Marshfield, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Merkler
Cape Girardeau, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Merrill Holbrook
Yorba Linda, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william vaughn
Kingsport, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Somervell
Hardin, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Brannon
Plymouth, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Otis
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Fletcher
League City, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Omar Ochoa
Houston, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Dill
Pasadena, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adam Daniels
Katy, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alejandro Garcia
Carson, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Smith
Port Arthur, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Smisor
Pearland, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duane Quale
Whittier, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Denise Viola
Newtown, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Nooyen
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Junge
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Sullivan
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben Chamberlain Sr
Deer Park, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Durr
Keithville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Corson
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Grimes
Beach City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curtis Lohr
Cypress, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Walla
Richmond, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Walla
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

johness bourgeois
Saint Amant, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Claude Chauvin
Lutcher, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joiy Murrell
Missouri City, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wyatt Newman
Lumberton, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Jeanson
Sugar Land, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Harrington
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol LaBorde
Donaldsonville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Swank
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Andrews
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clay Naiser
Pearland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Hoover
Wellsboro, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Pepper
Silverhill, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Raley
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kyle Wittenberg
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Powell
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adam Filby
Anacortes, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Clark
Liberty Hill, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Luiz de Paula santos
Sunrise, FL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

steve faltin
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert DeVita
Farmington, NM

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Ehl
Casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Salter
Pearland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brent Ortego
Ville Platte, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Maria Bautista
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Buchhop
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Clark
Cypress, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shawna Vordokas
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joshua Bailey
Bay Minette, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pete Thompson
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Crowson
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Vyvial
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Powell
Richmond, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joycelyn Failla
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Morris Narunsky
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rolando Vincoy
Kirtland, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Angela Harrington
Portage, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Sigurdson
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Darlene Black
Farmington, NM

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresa Ladner
Silas, AL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Deroche
Bourg, LA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kraig Phelps
St. George, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROBERT PARSON
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brett Baker

,

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Castro
Bakersfield, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LeeRoy Patin
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wilfred Provost
Saint Martinville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Slack
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wesley Clark
Tomball, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LELAND WILLIAMS
Magnolia, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacque Robinson
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ryan Hanford
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Riley
Mico, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Pilling
Canonsburg, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adam Reeder
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Hardwick
Holdenville, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Damon Aucoin
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Carbrey
Slidell, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ricky Tate
Bossier City, ME

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Flick
Edmond, OK

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Muretich
Ballwin, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joel Janco
Key Largo, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Walker
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathy Spletter
Heath, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jevin Croteau
Broomfield, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Brooks
Fresno, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Matt Ralls
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

G G Lancaster
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Hinton
Katy, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jorge Llanos
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walt Retzsch
Rockville, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Gray
Potomac Falls, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Chittim
Annapolis, MD

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ED KONKLER
MOUNT VERNON, OH

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tony Sweazy
Akron, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Klusty
North Canton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glen Campbell
Howard, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Rother
Norman, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Smith
Grayslake, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Karish
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wade Hinshaw
Findlay, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Robinson
Missouri City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rod Furr
Greensboro, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Deiter
Bethlehem, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

roy kerps
spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Amend
Fort Worth, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Baldur Krahl
Pearland, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

deonte reed
jeffersonville, IN

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Landry
Donaldsonville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Chipman
Lafayette, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Underwood
Houston, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Tarter
Bellingham, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Brennan
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Candice Elliott
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jane DuJardin
Fruita, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Quinn
Kerrville, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Woodson
Charlotte, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Green
Glendale, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Jezovnik
Harmony, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Devra Trotter
Marietta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Minner
Bakersfield, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

pat langley
Conway, AR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Davis
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Kurtz
Beaver Falls, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jordan Wind
Dickinson, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Howard
Ballwin, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MANLY D. BRELAND
West Union, SC

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

charlie gallman
Mt. airy, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rod Wright
Oklahoma City, OK

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jac Lentz
Brighton, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Jacoby
Long Beach, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pamela Alvarado
Kingwood, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Niemiec
Fort Worth, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

virginia humphreys
Pagosa Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Salvatore Rabbio
Greenwood Village, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Collings
Edmond, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dwayne Nall
Yoakum, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

craig mullett
Slidell, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Higgins
Plano, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Rhoades
Rifle, CO

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Osgood
Centennial, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andrew Chadwick
Aurora, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandy Schissler
Littleton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brent Wenning
Craig, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Barnard
Antelope, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Warner
Hubbard, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth McGinis
New York, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Cambiano
Morrilton, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william rodd
Caro, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beau Fletcher
Beech Creek, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Catherine Geisinger
Mounds, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MELVIN SHELBURNE
ORLAND, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben LeRoy
Ocala, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Fuqua
Huffman, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen OKelley
Irvine, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stanley Handman
Plainview, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Root
Lawrenceville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BERNARD MANNING
MOHNTON, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Melby
Frederick, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andrew Lauer
Watertown, SD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Walker
Tulsa, OK

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Steiner
Flint, TX

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Graham
Plainfield, IL

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Rosty
Silsbee, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sanjeev Ram
Berkeley Heights, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Garrison
Plainfield, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Steimle
Montgomery, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Grill
Birmingham, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shelley Pool
Pearland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Desborough
Big Sandy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Dunn
Bolingbrook, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Lenar
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lou Liebovich
Plainfield, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Les Emig
Bullard, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Weinbrenner
Whitehouse, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sheri Gorman
Montgomery, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Landis
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Mitchell
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Boone
Whitehouse, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Peart
Joliet, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hollister
Dickinson, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doris Tomas
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Miller
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janice Berke-Davis
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Hood
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dawn Koetter
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jodi Stevenson
Bellaire, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Elmer Johnson
Damon, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Albert Marcenkus
Cape Coral, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Dillon
Lovell, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

M. Jordan Hunter
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dianne Jopling
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Campbell
Houston, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Tilotta
Houston, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Chadwick
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cody Condron
Tomball, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ralph Gardner
Villa Rica, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Frisby
Houston, TX

2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andre Grissom
Henryetta, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Grothe
Houston, TX

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Gunn
Hermanville, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cathy Bush
Tallahassee, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Skotty
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Calmes
Fort Worth, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Josh Walton
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Warren
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

cecil looke
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jay Paul Smith
St. Martinville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Beckman
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gertcho Gertchev
Brownsville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Boals
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Grinke
Dallas, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Henkin
Dupont, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Snyder
Longmont, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Parry
Granbury, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anita Schuler
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jenny Sharrock
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Drew Gill
Wichita, KS

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Harrelson Jr
Florence, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Simpson
Farmington, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Belteau
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Willie Williams
Coker, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Spencer Burton
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Hardee
Rio Vista, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Herschel Franklin
Plano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher McCabe
Stroudsburg, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Williams
Spanish Springs, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Benick
Portland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virginia Selby
Delta, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Kumbalek
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Engle
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Thaeler
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Arceneaux
Orange, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Gosselin
Zachary, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Neil Nelson
Terre Haute, IN

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Marek
Ponca City, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Karen Headstream
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Gunter
Beaumont, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Maiorano
Dobbs Ferry, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL KNAPP
St. Joseph, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danny Frost
Bolivar, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Urban
Londonderry, NH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Jackson
Tuscaloosa, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Papay
Hughesville, PA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Fowler
Seabrook, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Darrell Arnold
Albany, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Henderson
Abingdon, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Berryhill
Carrollton, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Maskil
Rices Landing, PA

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

GLENN NELSON
Pipestone, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jo Sexton
Cambridge, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Okey Mason
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Dickie Vaughn
Crowley, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Wilson
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles McCurdy
Nampa, ID

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rudy Jones
Springfield, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mae Dawson
Fulton, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Youngerman
Dayton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PAM BUTTRAM
Webb City, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Graham Ramsay
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dawn Mullinax
Seabrook, TX

2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Diab
Findlay, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russell Ives
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Martin
Crosby, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Collins
Cornville, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Garlan Stroud
Franklin, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Strittmatter
Batavia, IL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christina Kitchens
Carrollton, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Shives
Hagerstown, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of Public Power, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Pittenger
Bolivia, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a mechanical engineer who has spent much of my career in power related research, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Adamson
Annapolis, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a consumer of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Only over regulation will cause prices to increase. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Garcia
Blooming Grove, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alan Becker
Wantagh, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chester Payne
Laquey, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vincent Puma
Plainview, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Daley
Westfield, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a citizens of the United States, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Kauffman
Chambersburg, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a citizen and supporter of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard & Sondra Andersen
Bella Vista, AR